

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	AL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)		
RE-INS	PECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	):		
AIRS ID#: 0910091 DATE: 11/1	17/08	ARRIVE: 8:55 am	DEPART: 10:05	am_	
FACILITY NAME: KINDRED	HEARTS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2	9 HUGHES ST				
F	FORT WALTON BEACE	Н 32548			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPR	ESENTATIVE: CARA	A FERNANDEZ PHON	<b>E:</b> (850)244-3800		
CONTACT NAME: Cara Ferna	indez	PHON	Е:		
	/15/2007 / 11/15/201 ective date) (end date)	2			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPI	MINOR Non-COMPI		NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II. TESTING/RECORDS	FEDING DECLIDEM	IFNTS   Pulo 62-206 401   F	'A C		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDK (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F	.A.C.		
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es)</li> <li>Were there any objectionab</li> <li>Was a visible emissions tes</li> </ol>	ole odor(s) detected?t t conducted during this s	site visit according to EPA Mo	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	_
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es)</li> <li>Were there any objectionab</li> <li>Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Noti</li> </ol>	ole odor(s) detected?	site visit according to EPA Mo	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Heach anniversary date)	— ⊠Yes Rule	□ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es)</li> <li>Were there any objectionab</li> <li>Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Noti 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.)</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days</li> </ol>	ole odor(s) detected?t conducted during this sividual source compliance fication form submissionividual source compliance ividual source compliance prior to the AGP Notifica	ce, was an annual visible emis n, and within 60 days prior to ce were the remaining application form submission? (Rule	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter essions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Reserved) eable standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)	⊠Yes	_
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es)</li> <li>Were there any objectionab</li> <li>Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Noti 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.)-</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) volume, dry basis, correcte 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Ib) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter emiss</li> </ol>	ole odor(s) detected? t conducted during this s ividual source compliance fication form submission ividual source compliance prior to the AGP Notificate the emissions equal to or be detected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hourly F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Morece, was an annual visible emis, and within 60 days prior to exercise the remaining application form submission? (Rule elow the requirements of 100 average basis and tested accorded 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Falal to or below the requirements	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (F ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (F ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ethod 9 (Ref	Yes  Rule  Yes	□ No
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es)</li> <li>Were there any objectionab</li> <li>Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Noti 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.)-</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) volume, dry basis, correcte 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, I b) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter emiss dry standard cubic foot (ft³ (Ref.: Chapter62-297, F.A.</li> <li>Was all emissions testing control of the control of t</li></ol>	ole odor(s) detected? t conducted during this s ividual source compliance fication form submission ividual source compliance prior to the AGP Notificate emissions equal to or be det to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hourly F.A.C.)? according to EPA Methor isions test with results eque of flue gas, corrected to C.)? onducted with the source	site visit according to EPA More, was an annual visible emist, and within 60 days prior to ever the remaining application form submission? (Rule elow the requirements of 100 average basis and tested accorded 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. al to or below the requirement of 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to the operating at the manufacture	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (February 1997) able standards testing to 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? to 6 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 ers recommended	─\ \text{Yes}  Rule \text{Yes}  \text{Yes}  \text{Yes}  \text{Yes}  \text{Yes}  \text{Yes}  \text{Yes}	
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es)</li> <li>Were there any objectionab</li> <li>Was a visible emissions tes 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind days prior to the AGP Noti 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.)-</li> <li>In order to demonstrate ind completed within 60 days a) Carbon Monoxide (CO) volume, dry basis, correcte 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, I b) Oxygen test performed c) Particulate matter emiss dry standard cubic foot (ft³ (Ref.: Chapter62-297, F.A.</li> <li>Was all emissions testing control of the control of t</li></ol>	ole odor(s) detected? t conducted during this s ividual source compliance fication form submission ividual source compliance prior to the AGP Notificate to emissions equal to or be to do 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hourly F.A.C.)? according to EPA Methologions test with results eque of flue gas, corrected to C.)? onducted with the source to demonstrated by submissed at least 15 days prior to	site visit according to EPA More, was an annual visible emisen, and within 60 days prior to be were the remaining application form submission? (Rule elow the requirements of 100 average basis and tested according to 100 days prior to 100 days pri	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (February 1998) ebble standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? ents of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 ers recommended entical crematory unit? ompliance test?	—    Yes     Yes	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to rec	ord temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamb	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the	ne recording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	ic recording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	\Big Yes \Big No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	700 DX DX.
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°E	F? Yes No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperat	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring t	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	.•
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence (a 1800° F?	ee time \time Yes \to No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crer	
process begins in the primary chamber?	
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer tha	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3	mils
thick?	\Big Yes \Big No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	<b>△103 □ 110</b>
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the di	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	Mies   No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUL A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.						
Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No				
<ul> <li>d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?</li> <li>2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?</li> <li>3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?</li> <li>a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?</li> </ul>			□No □No □No □No				
Greg Landry	11/17/08						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
	11/17/09						
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection							
COMMENTS: Facility is very clean and well organized.							