

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

September 9, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested Prpmbus@gmail.com

Mr. Pedro Moraes CEM, Owner X Clean Services, LLC 1143 Gulf Breeze Parkway Gulf Breeze, Florida 32561

Dear Mr. Moraes:

On August 16, and September 6, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1131126. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

(and Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI) AINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1131126 DAT	ГЕ: <u>8/16/11</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: GUI	LF BREEZE DROPER					
FACILITY LOCATION	: 1143 GULF BREEZ	E PKWY				
	GULF BREEZE 32	561-4835				
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DREPRESENTATIVE: P DD: 10/5/2007 / 10/5/20 (effective date) (end date	012	PHONE: (850)932-2565 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check only one box in A) - Rule 62-213.300 FAC						
transfer only, both types, x < (constructed b 3. Existing large dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed b 5. Ineligible fo	y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr efore 12/9/91) e area source y, $140 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $200 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $0 \le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ efore 12/9/91) or General Permit \square t of business/petroleum /	transfer only, both types, x (constructed of 4. New large ar dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, 14	lly, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91)			
	volume of all perchloroethyle was 82.00 gallons.	ene (perc) purchases made	e in each of the previous 12 months by this dry			

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free?	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	☐ N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No	_
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes	— No	□ N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?	. 🔲	Yes	☐ No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)				
1. If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u> , no controls are required. If	Proce	ed to P	art V.	
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below.	with	a refrig	gerated	
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equivalent refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Computer have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	l with	a refri	gerated	
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 🗹	-
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	- 🖂	Yes	☐ No	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	. 🗆	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No	

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:						
1. Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No		
2. Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No		N/A
a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	-	Yes		No		N/A
3. Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to be prize, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon additional points. a) Is the perc concentration equipped exclusively with a carbon additional points.	-	Yes		No		N/A
a) Is the perc concentration edition less ppm?	-	Yes		No		N/A
4. Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A
5. Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	- 🗌	Yes		No		N/A
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	-	Yes		No		N/A
	-	Yes		No		N/A
	-	Yes		No		N/A
	-	(check l	V 0	only o	ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(check l	V 0		ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————		(bo	check l	✓ o ach qu		ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————		(bo	check l	✓ o ach qu		ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————		(bo	check l	✓ o ach qu		ne
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	⊠ ⊠ -	(bo Yes Yes	check l	✓ o ach qu No No	uestio	ne n)
6. Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? ————————————————————————————————————		(bo Yes Yes	check l	o ach qu No No No	iestio	one n) N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	check I	o ach qu No No No	iestio	nne nn) N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes	check l	✓ o ach qu No No No No	iestio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check l	✓ o ach qu No No No No No	iestio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A
PART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC 1. Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————	-	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	check l	Mo Ach qu No	I Estio	nne nn) N/A N/A N/A N/A

PA	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \square	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills		□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected <u>monthly</u> for <u>vapor leaks</u> using a halog	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	zraph sl	hall satisfy th	ıe
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as re 	_				
Jennifer Waltrip	August 16, 2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
/s/	August 2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: On August 16, 2011, a Department representative conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection of X Clean Cleaners located in Santa Rosa County. Mr. Pedro Moraes, owner, was available to assist during the inspection.

Mr. Moraes led a tour of the facility. The dry-to-dry machine was installed in 2002 and less than 140 gal/yr of perc is purchased; therefore, it is a new small area source. All perc is stored within the machine. All chemicals kept on site have secondary containment. Mr. Moraes produced logs which detailed yearly perc purchases with running annual totals for each month. Receipts for each purchase were available for inspection. The logs also included weekly inspections, leak checks, repairs and temperature checks. No leaks were noted on the logs; therefore no documentation of repairs or parts ordered was available.

Mr. Moraes was asked to demonstrate a leak check using the halogenated leak detector. During his demonstration the leak detector was alarming to warn of a leak over the entire machine. We reviewed the manufacturer's operating instructions and Mr. Moraes made plans to call the manufacturer of the leak detector and a maintenance man for the machine. A follow-up inspection was conducted on September 6, 2011. During the follow-up inspection, Mr. Moraes stated that he contacted the manufacturer and discovered that the filter needed to be changed on the leak detector and learned that the detector should warm up longer prior to being used in order to obtain a correct background reading. Mr. Moraes again demonstrated the use of the leak detector and it found no areas where perc was leaking from the machine.