

## CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING/ANODIZING



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b> ANNUAL (INST	, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0251124 DATE: <u>03/31/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:55AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:30AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: TECHNO-COATINGS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1865 NE 144TH ST		
NORTH MIAMI 33181-1419		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SAUL CAMARGO PHONE: (305)945-2220		
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/31/2008 / 7/31/2013 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)		
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>CLASSIFICATION</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC Facility type(s)/applicable standard as indicated on notification form:		
1. Hard Chromium Plating		
a. Existing Large (0.015 mg/dscm) c. New (0.015 mg/dscm)	b. Existing Small (0.03 mg/dscm) d. Alternative Standard for existing facilities (0.03 mg/dscm) using a rolling average of rectifier capacity (less than 60 million A-hr/year)	
2. <u>Decorative Chromium Plating/Anodizing</u>		
a. <u>Chromic Acid Bath</u>	<ol> <li>Emissions of ≤ 0.01/mg/dscm (4.4x10<sup>-6</sup> gr/dscf)</li> <li>Surface tension of ≤ 45 dynes/cm (3.1x10<sup>-3</sup> lb-f/ft) (May only be selected if a wetting agent is used.)</li> </ol>	
b. <u>Trivalent Chromium</u> <u>Bath</u>	1) With wetting agent	
c. <u>Chromium</u> <u>Anodizing</u>	<ol> <li>Emissions of ≤ 0.01 mg/dscm (4.4x10<sup>-6</sup> gr/dscf)</li> <li>Surface tension of 45 dynes/cm (3.1x10<sup>-3</sup> lb-f/ft)</li> <li>(May only be selected if a wetting agent is used.)</li> </ol>	

PART III: <u>CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	
(Select control	
	DEVICE IN USE?
<u>device</u> )	<u>HY CSE</u> .
1. Composite Mesh Pad	∏Yes ∏No
2. Fiber Bed Mist Eliminator	Yes No
3. Packed Bed Scrubber	∏Yes ∏No
4. Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	Yes No
5. Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	Yes No
	⊠Yes □No
Has the facility conducted an initial performance test to establish monitoring parameters? (Not required for sources using a wetting agent or 1-inch foam blanket thickness)	□Yes □No ⊠N/A
PART IV: <u>RECORDKEEPING/REPORTING</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(	3)
Has the responsible official maintained the following records?	
1. Quarterly inspection records for add-on air pollution control devices and	
monitoring equipment. (applicable only to a facility using a packed bed scrubber,	fiber-bed
mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	☐Yes ☐No ☐N/A
2. Operations and Maintenance Plan (OMP). (applicable only to a facility using a	packed bed
scrubber, fiber-bed mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	□Yes □No □N/A
3. Maintenance records for the source, add-on pollution control devices, and	
monitoring equipment (equipment identified, date performed, description)	□Yes □No
4. Records of date of occurrence, duration, cause, and corrective action of each	
malfunction of process, add-on pollution control device, and monitoring equipment	
5. Results of all performance tests	
6. Records of monitoring data. (not applicable to trivalent chromium baths using a	
agent)	LYes LNo LN/A
Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	☐ Yes ☐ INO
Packed Bed Scrubber  Measure the pressure drop across the PBS and the inlet velocity daily	DVac DNa
1 1	☐Yes ☐No
<u>Fiber-Bed Mist Eliminator</u> Measure the pressure drop across the FBME and the upstream device daily	□Yes □No
Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	□Yes □No
Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	
Measure the foam blanket thickness at the appropriate interval	□Yes □No
Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	100 110
Measure the surface tension at the appropriate interval	⊠Yes □No
7. Purchase records of wetting agent components	⊠Yes □No □N/A
8. Records of the date and time that fume suppressants are added to the bath	Yes No N/A
9. Records of rectifier capacity, if used to determine facility size	☐Yes ☐No ☑N/A
10. Records of the total process operating time	⊠Yes □No □
11. Records identifying specific periods of excess emissions	
12. Startup, Shutdown & Malfunction Plan	⊠Yes □No

MARUFUL MALIK	03/31/2009	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	03/2010	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** On March 31, 2009 I visited this facility to conduct an annual compliance inspection. On site I met Ms.Gabriela Dudasova, the Lab Manager. The facility has two vapor degreasers and they operate one at a time. This facility uses Gentech, a general cleaning solvent, to clean the metals after polishing. Review of MSDS indicates that they do not contain any hazardous materials.