NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, RE-INSPECTION					
AIRS ID#: 7775175 DATE: <u>1/27/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1345</u>	DEPART: <u>1450</u>			
FACILITY NAME: Crush-It @ Pavex/Rang	ger Pompano Beach				
FACILITY LOCATION: 2501 NW 4	8th St.				
Pompano B	each 33073				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTAT Email: CONTACT NAME: MIKE DUCKET Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2007 / (effective date)	Mot	ONE: (941)597-2181			
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE S	STATUS (check I only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR	Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY ME 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Mik		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			

	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still WILLIAM RICHARDSON? If no, who is?:	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still MIKE DUCKET?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		
	 {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} 	te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	L.No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	L.No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No
	□ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bar to b		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
/.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

<u>1 – Primary Crusher</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? <i>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i> <i>purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from</i> <i>one or more affected EUs.</i>} 	Yes	🗌 No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No

<u>1 – Primary Crusher</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	5	
instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? (Note: The manifesture device must be contributed by the manufacturer to be converte within 15%)	res	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
of design scrubbing riquid now rate. }		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:	—	
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,	_	_
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	LNo
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
questions and go airectly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	— -	— -
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	L.No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	L.No

<u>1 – Primary</u>	Crusher

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	L.No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th		_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	∐ Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
	=	=
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	L.No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	L.No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
	_	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	T Yes	□No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	—	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	L.No

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processi		
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grant Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo	te, Gravel; Salt;	
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No
su If	<i>air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.]</i> answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to abpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	□ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

<u>2</u>-Screening Operations

 9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	No
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□ No □No □No □No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

2 – Screening Operations

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	C	
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🗌 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_
instructions?	- 🗌 Yes	L.No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	L Yes	LNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	L res	L.No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU? N/A	🗌 Yes	D No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	<u>No</u>
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	<u> </u>
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	∐ No
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		10
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		—
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	🗌No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No
25 Was a VE test conducted by the summer/or exeter for this unit during this site visit?		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	L.No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Rate:	les	No
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		NO
 d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) 	Yes	No
d. Did the VE lest demonstrate compliance with the opacity mint: (See chart below).		NO
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	T Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	T Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
	—	—

Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir	og Plants?	
10	<i>{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit</i>		
	is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit		
	Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and		
	(3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock		
	(5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo	ride,	
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,	Kernite,	
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic	ulite;	
	(17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}		
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant	—	—
_	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	L.No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	L.No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	L.No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	L.No
	□ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation,		
	 □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic 		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
-	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
7	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	L.No
/.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?		
Q	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ? Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	Yes	L.No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	T Yes	□No
			L

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		NO
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operation	ited	
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materi		
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from process		
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is we		
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ncu	
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	No
		_
Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
i gunsher to Question 12 to 110° ship the following questions and go an eerly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	T Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	No No
$\{A ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the $		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	_	_
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		L.No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? -	- 🗌 Yes	LNo

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 	- 🗌 Yes	No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	L.No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20 Deep the FU have a particulate matter agenture sustan (equipment including analogues		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	—	
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	∐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	🗌No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	T Yes	No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?	Yes	∐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } A$		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}	—	
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
•	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	No
	_	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No

Emissions Unit Section <u>4-Belt Conveyors (2)</u>

(check 🗹	only one
how for each	question)

	1	box for each	question)			
Is	Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants?					
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granin Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock 1. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU located at one of the following? Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU one of the following? □ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by	ty te, 'Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	□No □No □No □No			
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}					
su	If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.					
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or					
6	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	No			
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	No			
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ? Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	Yes	No			
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No			

4 -Belt Conveyors (2)

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	🗌 Yes	No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No □No □No
15.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from and on more affected EU 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No

4 –Belt Conveyors (2)

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; Uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	∐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 	Yes	No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete 		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□ No □No □No □No

<u>4 –Belt</u>	Conveyors	(2)

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emi				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through whi			/A L	Yes 🗌 No
purpose of exhausting from a building				
one or more affected EUs.}	, an earrying particula			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit of	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		YesNo
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				YesNo
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			YesNo
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	1			
a. a device for the continuous measur scrubber and the device has been instructions?	n calibrated on an annua	Il basis in accordance with man	ufacturer's	YesNo
{Note: The monitoring device m	nust be certified by the r			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre- and	ssure.}			
b. a device for the continuous measur device has been calibrated on an				YesNo
{Note: The monitoring device m				105 <u> </u>
of design scrubbing liquid flow	•		1111 1370	
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/onerat	or for this FU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			vears?	YesNo
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		e been tested within the past s		
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?		YesNo
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi				YesNo
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	<i>ner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		YesNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-	cess rate that is represent	ntative of the normal rate?		YesNo
Rate:			_	
b. Was the VE test conducted according			[]	YesNo
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of Did the VE test demonstrate common				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity i	imit? (See chart below)		YesNo
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp				YesNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represent	ntative of the normal rate?		YesNo
Rate:				Vac 🗆 N.
b. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity of the VE test resulted in an opacity of the VE test resulted in the test result of test results of test res results of test results of test results of test res r			Ц	YesNo
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				YesNo
a. Dia die VE test demonstrate comp.	nance with the opacity i		Ц	
	VE Opac	ity Limits		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OO	O EU
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed,	, modified,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstru	
	_	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/20	008

20%

20%

15% 10%

Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs 12% 7%

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor		
	drop points)? \square N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work 	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
	 areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A 	☐ Yes	□ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes ...No b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------...No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes ...No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes ...No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes ...No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? YesNo)
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes)
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes)
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes)
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes)
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? YesNo)

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	_
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Yes	No
•	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		No
5.	. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, accest to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	SS	
	permit and Department rules?	- 🗌 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up				
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	Yes	No		
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
	a) Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	No		
	b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		No		
	c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	No		
	d) A change in ownership?	🔲 Yes	No		
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sul	bmitted			
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	No		

Art Pennetta

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/27/12

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: