

# $\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC }\underline{\text{MINERAL}}}{\underline{\text{PLANTS}}} \\ \underline{\text{PLANTS}}$



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

|   | ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐                        | COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO: _                                 | (CI)   |
|---|--|--|--|
| <b>AIRS ID#:</b> 7775175 001  | <b>DATE:</b> 7/26/10   | <b>ARRIVE:</b> 1:45p.m   | <b>DEPART:</b> 2:30 pm   |
| FACILITY NAME: Crush  | n-It, Inc.   |  |  |
| FACILITY LOCATION:  | Relocatable<br>855 28 <sup>th</sup> St. S<br>St. Petersburg, FL    |  |  |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA   | AL: William Richardson   | PHONE: 9   | 41-809-6900  |
| CONTACT NAME: John  | Cardona  | PHONE: 9   | 41-809-6151  |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: _  | <u>2007</u> <b>ENTITL</b>  | EMENT PERIOD: 5/24/07 (effective date                                    | / 5/24/2012<br>) (end date)  |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE   | COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch  |  | Ion-COMPLIANCE   |
| PART II: <u>DETERMINA</u> (check ☑ only <u>one</u> box                    | TION OF FACILITY TYPE  | /APPLICABILITY   |  |
|   |  | ), Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1)) uestions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with      | **.)   |
| elevator, belt conveyor<br>mix asphalt facilities th                      | , bagging operation, storage b                                     | in, enclosed truck or railcar loading alic minerals embedded in recycled | Inding mill, screening operation, bucket<br>g station, crushers & grinding mills at hot<br>d asphalt pavement & subsequent affected  |
|   |  | Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(uestions <u>EXCEPT</u> those with **.)  |  |
| grinding mills; facilities sand & gravel plants, & & crushed stone plants | s not subject to subparts F (Por<br>c crushed stone plants w/capac | rtland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix                                      | ning operations at plants w/o crushers or Asphalt Facilities) of this part; <u>fixed</u> or) or less; <u>portable</u> sand & gravel plants, clay plants, and pumice plants |

| PART III: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))   |                           |                |                 |
|---|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Stack Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.  **1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?  |                           | $\boxtimes$    | No              |
| **2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:  |                           | <b>5</b> -2    |                 |
| **3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage   | ∐Yes<br>∐Yes              |                | No<br>No        |
|   | ∐Yes                      |                | No              |
| <u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. **1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?  | ∐Yes                      |                | No              |
| **2. Do visible emissions from any:  **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%  |                           |                |                 |
| percent opacity?  |                           |                |                 |
| enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <u>NOT</u> subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than <u>20</u> % percent opacity?   | ☐Yes<br>ng the o          | cours          | No<br>se of the |
| Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62- **4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, baggin   | g                         | ), F. <i>A</i> | A.C.            |
| **a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If  | ∐Yes                      |                |                 |
| **b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control de  | □Yes<br>evice is:<br>□Yes |                |                 |
| 2) the opacity greater than <u>7</u> % percent?*  **c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity?  **5. Do visible emissions from any:  | □Yes<br>□Yes              |                | No<br>No        |
| **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?  | ∐Yes                      |                |                 |
| **b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?  Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:  **6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening   | Yes                       |                | No              |
| operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin? **7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket | ∐Yes                      |                | No              |
| elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bein the production line?  |                           |                | No              |

| PART IV: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)  |  |
|--|--|
| Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)   |  |
| 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions st  |  |
| part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)   |  |
| NOTE: John Cardona stated the crusher is tested at least annually to ensure compliance with visible em   | nissions standards.  |
| Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)  |  |
| 2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards   | of   |
| Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:  |  |
| a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?   |  |
| b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit  |  |
| form submittal date?   |  |
| Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)   |  |
| 3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:   | of   |
| a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?  | ⊠Yes □ No  |
| b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit  |  |
| form submittal date?   |  |
| Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, App   |  |
| incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.   | The second secon |
| 4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?   |  |
| 5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22?   |  |
| 6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods :   |  |
| <b>NOTE:</b> Method 9 was used for visible emissions testing; there are no stack emissions to test.  | <u> </u>   |
| Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) [Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60 670 – 60 676 Subpart OOO adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8   | 300 FAC1   |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  |  |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement   |  |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:   | acement of existing facility   |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  | acement of existing facility  uilcar Loading Station,  |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a   | acement of existing facility  illear Loading Station, and the rated  |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?   | acement of existing facility  illear Loading Station, and the rated  |
| 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.8  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  | acement of existing facility  all are Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced.   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated  Yes No   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated  Yes No   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  | acement of existing facility  all and the total  Yes No  |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?   | acement of existing facility  all and the total  Yes No  |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the  | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?   | acement of existing facility  ailcar Loading Station, and the rated  |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?  **OTE: There were no replacements to report.  **Performance/Compliance Testing  **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?  | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?  **NOTE: There were no replacements to report.  **Performance/Compliance Testing  **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?  **OTE: There were no replacements to report.  **Performance/Compliance Testing  **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?  **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannu the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gair flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recen | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  ***b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  ***c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?  ***OTE: There were no replacements to report.  **Performance/Compliance Testing*  ***8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?   | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |
| Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the repland/or equipment:  **a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Ra  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced a capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?  **b) for a Screening Operation,  **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?  **c) for a Conveyor Belt,  **1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor  **d) for a Storage Bin,  **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?  **OTE: There were no replacements to report.  **Performance/Compliance Testing  **8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?  **9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannu the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gair flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recen | acement of existing facility  ilcar Loading Station, and the rated   |

| PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)  |                                     |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| **10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance w 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?   |                                     |
| Process Changes  **11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.)  **a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:  **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.) | □Yes □ No                           |
| **2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the no visible emission limit in 40 CFR 60.672 (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)  **b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?   | ( <b>h</b> ).)                      |
| <ul> <li>Notification Requirements</li> <li>**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?</li></ul>  |                                     |
| <ul> <li>b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u>, is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from of deposits? (<i>If your answer to this question is NO</i>, please proceed to question 1) below.)</li></ul>  | e box for all  Yes No onsite Yes No |

| PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continuous)   | nued)        |
|--|--------------|
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  |              |
| **2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to</i>            | <u></u>      |
| questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)   | ∐Yes ⊠ No    |
| **a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:   |              |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| **b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the  |              |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| **1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?  | ∐Yes ∐ No    |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| 3. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using a   | ın           |
| individual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question   |              |
|  | ∐Yes ⊠ No    |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| b) If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under  |              |
|  | ∐Yes ∐ No    |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| d) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?   | □Yes □ No    |
| 4. Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete   |              |
| batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this   |              |
|  | □Yes ⊠ No    |
|  | ☐Yes ☐ No    |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | ☐Yes ☐ No    |
| 5. Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing   |              |
|  | Yes No       |
| , ,  | ☐Yes ☐ No    |
| b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per   | □x7 □ x7     |
| calendar year?   | ☐Yes ☐ No    |
| c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?   | □Yes □ No    |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| 6. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:   | Dv. Dv.      |
|  | □Yes ⊠ No    |
|  | ☐Yes ☐ No    |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| 7. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility ( <i>not a Title V source</i> ) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt |              |
|  | □Yes ⊠ No    |
| a) If <u>YES</u> , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the   | ☐ I es  ☐ No |
|  | □Yes □ No    |
| operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?   | 169 [1NO     |
|  | □Yes ⊠ No    |
|  | ☐ Yes ☐ No   |
| NOTE: This is a relocatable facility engaging in normal, routine activity.   | 103110       |
| 110 120 1 ms is a relocatable facility engaging in normal, found activity.   |              |
|  |              |

| 10.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.  |  |                        |
|---|--|------------------------|
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))  |  |                        |
| nconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral premissions by:   |  | nconfined              |
| <ul><li>b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a</li><li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas</li><li>2) application of water or environmentally safe du</li></ul>  | r drop points?   | Yes No                 |
| re-entrainment, and from building or work area 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles?   | as to reduce airborne particulate matter?  f wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of   | Yes                    |
| matter? 7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?   |  | ]Yes ⊠ No<br> Yes ⊠ No |
|   |  |                        |
| A. New or Modified Process Equipment  | <b>ES</b> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  |                        |
| <ul> <li>A. New or Modified Process Equipment</li> <li>1. Since the last inspection has there been</li> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> </ul>  |  |                        |
| <ul><li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li><li>b) alteration of existing process equipment without</li><li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?</li></ul>  | t replacement? different than that noted on the most   | Yes No                 |
| New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4).                     | different than that noted on the most  ne owner submit a new and complete 4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or               | Yes No                 |
| New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4).                     | t replacement? different than that noted on the most en owner submit a new and complete 1.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | Yes ⊠No<br> Yes ⊠No    |
| New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office? | t replacement? different than that noted on the most en owner submit a new and complete 1.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | Yes ⊠No<br> Yes ⊠No    |

| FA       | CI          | LIT         | Y: Crush-It, Inc.  |  | PERMIT ID:   | 2733   |
|----------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
|          |             |             |  |  | DISTRICT:  | Southwest  |
| AI       | DDF         | RES         | Relocatable<br>855 28 <sup>th</sup> St. S, St. Peter   | sburg, FL  | CONTACT PHON   | NE:  |
| ARMS NO: |             |             | 0:   | PERMIT NO:   | Expiration Date:<br>Renewal Date:  | 5/24/2012<br>4/23/2012                                       |
|          | 7           | 777         | 75175 001  | 7775175-002-AG   | Test Date:   | 1/9/2000   |
|          |             |             | N UNIT DESCRIPTION: Reens, 2 conveyor belts and a die  | locatable Subpart OOO crusher: Consist sel engine.   | s of a Egle 1200, serial num   | ber 22338 Primary  |
| IN       | SPE         | ECT.        | ION DATE:  | ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:  | COMPLIANCE STATUS  | <i>:</i>   |
| 7        | 7/26        | /10         |  | ⊠ INS2 or INS  | ⊠ IN   | SNC  |
|          | Tyj         | pe o        | f Inspection:  | ☐ Re-inspection ☐ Compla   | int Drive-by   | ☐ Quarterly  |
|          |             |             |  | A. General Review:   |  |  |
| 1.       | _           |             | nit File Review  |  |  | Yes No   |
| 2.       |             | Intro       | oduction and Entry   |  |  | ∑ Yes ☐ No   |
|          |             | Con         | nments:  |  |  |  |
| 3.       |             |             | ne Authorized Representative   | still: William Richardson?   |  | ⊠ Yes □ No   |
| 4.       | -+          |             | nments:<br>he facility contact still: John C   | ʻardona?   | <u> </u>   | X Yes □ No   |
|          |             |             | iments:  | ar dona.   | k  | 7 163 110  |
| 5.       |             |             | s the equipment on-site match ments:   | the notification form [Rule 62-210.300]  |  | Yes No   |
|          | _           |             | imenis.  |  |  |  |
| I<br>N   | M<br>N<br>C | S<br>N<br>C |  | B. Specific Conditions   |  |  |
|          |             |             | the Department by phone prior 62-210.900(6)) to the Department F.A.C.]   | relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing to changing location and submit a Facilitient no later than one (1) business day fol  | ty Relocation Notification F lowing relocation [62-210   | Form (DEP Form No.   |
|          |             |             |  | s or 🗌 is not a relocatable nonmetallic 1  |  |  |
|          |             |             | material from onsite natural de<br>material, the owner or operator   | mineral processing plants, except those posits, and for all stationary nonmetallic r shall have a water suppression system v r(s), the classifier screens, and the convergence of the co | mineral processing plants p<br>with spray bars located at the  | rocessing dry<br>e feeder(s), the                            |
|          |             |             | functional. The water suppression "system"   | pes does not operate a water suppress  n" consists of two hoses connected to a water as well as at two transfer points.  |  |  |
|          |             |             | precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that plant processing dry material s feeder(s), the entrance and exit (ii) Unconfined emissions that | might be generated from various activities hall be controlled by using a water suppress of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, a might be generated by vehicular traffic cray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s)   | es throughout a nonmetallic ression system with spray ba<br>and the conveyor drop point<br>or wind shall be controlled b | mineral processing rs located at the s. y applying water (by |

## Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO- General Permits

| I<br>N | M<br>N<br>C | S<br>N<br>C | B. Specific Conditions   |
|--------|-------------|-------------|--|
|        |             |             | work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]  |
|        |             |             | Comments: The water hoses were in use during the inspection. The grounds were damp as well. It appears reasonable precautions are being taken.   |
|        |             |             | Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.]  **Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes, or No, or NA The results of the various points were:  There are no stack emissions for this facility.   |
|        |             |             | Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]  **Comments: The facility ** does ** does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse. There are no stack emissions for this facility.**   |
|        |             |             | Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity.  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.]  Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes , or No , or NA  The results of the various points were:N/A  A formal VE test was not conducted; however, the process was observed during the entire inspection and at no time were visible emissions noted from any drop or transfer point.  |
|        |             |             | Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.;  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]  Comments: Emission standards appear to be met at this time.  |
|        |             |             | The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.]  **Comments: Emission standards appear to be met at this time.** |

## Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO– General Permits

|   | M      | S      |   |
|---|--------|--------|---|
| I | N<br>C | N<br>C | D. Specific Conditions  |
| N | C      | C      | B. Specific Conditions  |
|   |        |        | The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.] §60.674 Monitoring of operations.  The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices:  (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.  (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.  **Comments: The facility**   does**   does not operate a wet scrubber.**  |
|   |        |        | The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of subsubparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.]  Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 4/23/2012.  |
|   |        |        | Comments. The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 4/25/2012.   |
|   |        |        | The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.]  §60.676 Reporting and recordkeeping.  (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment.  (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station:  (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment.  (2) For a screening operation:  (i) The total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation.  (3) For a conveyor belt:  (i) The width of the existing belt being replaced and (ii) The width of the replacement conveyor belt.  (4) For a storage bin:  (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins.  (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate.  (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test.  (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters.  (f) The owner or operator of any a |

## Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO- General Permits

| I           | M<br>N | S<br>N | D. C  |
|-------------|--------|--------|---|
| N           | C      | C      | B. Specific Conditions change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then   |
|             |        |        | subject to the 10 percent opacity limit in §60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of § 60.11 and this subpart. Likewise a screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor that processes unsaturated material but subsequently processes saturated material shall submit a report of this change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the no visible emission limit in §60.672(h).  (h) The subpart A requirement under §60.7(a)(2) for notification of the anticipated date of initial startup of an affected facility shall be waived for owners or operators of affected facilities regulated under this subpart.  (i) A notification of the actual date of initial startup of each affected facility shall be submitted to the Administrator.  (1) For a combination of affected facilities in a production line that begin actual initial startup on the same day, a single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available.  (2) For portable aggregate processing plants, the notification of the actual date of initial startup shall include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant.  (j) The requirements of this section remain in force until and unless the Agency, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected facilities within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with the reporting requirements of this section, provided that they comply with requirements established by the State. |
|             |        |        | Notification was received one day prior to initial startup. Facility is in compliance with the rule requirement.  |
|             |        |        |   |
|             |        |        | The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source;  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]  Comments: The crushing operation is located independently or with a stationary concrete batch plant. The  |
|             |        |        | operations  are are not considered a Title V source. (See below)  |
|             |        |        | The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation is located **\sum independently or *\sum with relocatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations *\sum are \sum are not considered a Title V source. (See below)  |
| $\boxtimes$ |        |        | The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.l., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The facility** does** does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required,yes* no. If required, records reviewed from to to to to maximum 12 month totals of gallons/ year of fuel and tons/year of material processed. % Sulfur tons/year.  |

#### Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO- General Permits

|             | M           | S           |  |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| I<br>N      | N<br>C      | N<br>C      | D. Specific Conditions   |
| 11          | C           | C           | B. Specific Conditions   |
|             |             |             | If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation ** is ** is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of ** a routine function ** non-routine activity ( |
| I<br>N      | M<br>N<br>C | S<br>N<br>C | C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures  |
| $\boxtimes$ |             |             | Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:  a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or  b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  Comments: There have been no changes to report.   |
| $\boxtimes$ |             |             | <b>Equipment Changes.</b> In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  **Comments: There have been no changes to report.**  |
|             |             |             | If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:  1. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and  2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.  [62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.]  Comments: There have been no incidents of noncompliance.   |
| $\boxtimes$ |             | П           | Valid Permit   |
| 7           | ]           |             | Throughout the term of the general permit:  a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.;  b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.  [62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C.  Comments: The conditions of the permit appear to be met at this time.   |

|             | M     | S     |  |
|-------------|-------|-------|--|
| Ι           | N     | N     |  |
| N           | C     | C     | C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures  |
|             |       |       |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Ш     | L     | A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the                                |
|             |       |       | filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain                                  |
|             |       |       | all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable                                |
|             |       |       | and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of  |
|             |       |       | ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the                                      |
|             |       |       | pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations                                    |
|             |       |       | occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit. |
|             |       |       | [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]  |
|             |       |       |  |
|             |       |       | Comments: The permit expires on 5/24/2012. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than   |
|             |       |       | 4/23/2012.   |
|             |       |       | 1/25/2012.   |
| $\boxtimes$ | П     |       | No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper                                     |
|             | Ч     |       | operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.]   |
|             |       |       |  |
|             |       |       | Comments: Pollution control devices/systems were properly operating.   |
|             |       |       |  |
|             |       |       | D. Other:  |
| Clo         | sing  | c Co  | nference T Yes $\square$ No  |
|             |       |       | ments:   |
|             |       |       | John Cardona, Superintendent, the facility appears to be in compliance at this time. I encouraged him to continue keeping                                  |
|             |       |       | damp and using the water suppression system at the crusher's drop and transfer points to minimize/eliminate fugitive                                       |
|             | issio |       | damp and using the water suppression system at the crusher's drop and transfer points to imminize/eminiate rughtive  |
| Ins         | pect  | tor(s | s): Shannon Ransom, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division  |
|             |       | ure(  |  |
|             |       |       | CLOG?, ACCESS?, ARMs?  |

 $H: \label{linear_equal_air_compliance} AQI \label{linear_eq} AQI \label{linear_eq} 17775175\ 001\ 73958. doc$