

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:								
AIRS ID#: 1010488 DATE: <u>01/26/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:00</u> DEPART: <u>10:15</u>								
FACILITY NAME: SAN ANTONIO								
FACILITY LOCATION: 11803 Uradco Place								
SAN ANTONIO 33576								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: HUGH PERRY Email: hugh_perry@csx.com CONTACT NAME: KATHERINE CHUMLEY Email: kchumley@flarock.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/9/2007 / 7/9/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (904)355-178 Mobile: PHONE: PHONE: (904)355-178 Mobile:	31							
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Eddie and Dave Lavender Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still HUGH PERRY? If no, who is?: <u>katherine Chumley</u>	☐ Yes							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still KATHERINE CHUMLEY? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo YesNo							

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CONCRETE BATCH PLANT WITH CENTRAL BAGHOUSE SYSTEM subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment (check ✓ on box for each que) 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? — ✓ Yes a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? — ✓ Yes	No No No No No			
enclosed storage and conveying equipment box for each que. 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? Yes				
	y one stion)			
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted, according to EPA Method 9? X Yes	No			
	No			
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? Yes If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	No			
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate				
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loaded during inspect e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	on. No			
f. What was the silo loading rate? 26.3 tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? Yes	No			
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.11 - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.11 - g.3$) and go to h. 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? \square Yes	No			
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and	No			
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes	110			
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector				
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.	No			
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	No			
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	No			

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check box for each				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No			
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No			
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	☐ No			
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr < 1.00? 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr					
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No			
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)						
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No			
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No			
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No			
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		\square No			

RI	ELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	only one			
1.	Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing proc		box for each g question 2.	• '			
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cem soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	 below.)	- Yes	□ No			
	a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Depart e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busingb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ness day prior to changing location?		☐ No			
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than fi c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ive business days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No			
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at	least five business days prior to relocation?	Yes	☐ No			
3.	3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:						
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-roul If YES, what was the purpose?)?	☐ No			
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate hor co-located at the permitted facility?		🖂 Yes	□ No			
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in dur	ration?	- Yes	☐ No			
<u>CI</u>	HANGES		(check ☑ box for each				
	Iministrative Changes:			question)			
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nu associated with a change in ownership or with a physical r						
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mi	nor administrative change at the facility?	- Yes	⊠ No			
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within		- Yes	☐ No			
	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership Since the last registration form submittal has there been	<u>p</u> :					
٠.	a. Installation of any new process equipment?			⊠ No			
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without repla			⊠ No			
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that			⊠ No			
	d. A change in ownership?	d. A change in ownership?					
4.							
	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new r 30 days prior to the change?		mitted - Yes	☐ No			
				☐ No			
Ma			- Yes	□ No			
Ma	30 days prior to the change?	······································	- Yes	□ No			
Ma	30 days prior to the change?alik Pickering/Steven Sherman	01/26/	- Yes	□ No			

COMMENTS: The facility only tested the silo loading but did not test the weigh hopper for batching operations. Hugh Perry is no longer there. Dave lavender told us that Katerine Chumley who was the contact name is now the authorized representative. Boxes left unchecked are not applicable.