

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO	·
	The more decired, (1 e.z.)		<u> </u>
AIRS ID#: 0951257 DA	TE: <u>01/22/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:50 a.m.</u>	DEPART: <u>9:50 a.m.</u>
FACILITY NAME: FLO	ORIDA ROCK/WINTER G	ARDEN FACILITY	
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 11901 C.R. 545		
	WINTER GARDEN	N 34787	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:		PHON	E: (904)355-1781
CONTACT NAME: PH		PHON	Е:
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 7/1/2007 / 7/1/201 (effective date) (end date		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriat		<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	A.C.
Stack Emissions	e box(es))		
1. Were visible emiss	sions tests conducted during	this site visit according to EPA M	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter
2. Are emissions from	m silos, weigh hoppers (batc	chers), and other enclosed storage a	
3. During visible em	nissions tests of the silo dust	collector exhaust points was the lo	ading of the silo conducted
unless such rate is	s unachievable in practice?		\(\big Yes \(\pri\) No
to this question is	"Yes", then continue on to o	r) operation controlled by the silo of questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an	swer is "No" then
a) Was the batchi	ing operation in operation du	uring the visible emissions test?	
duration?			
from the silo dust	collector, are the visible em	operation are controlled by a dust of issions tests of the weigh hopper (be esentative of the normal batching ra	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unacufical Emissions (Puls 62 206 220(4)(s) E A C					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant	t take researchle pressutions to central unconfined				
	t take reasonable precautions to control uncommed				
emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas	ilowing. ⊠Yes □ No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe du					
emissions? [X] solution of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
	as to reduce airborne particulate matter?	ĭ to ⊠Yes □ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		M 168 □ INO			
		⊠Yes □ No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, of partial enclosure to find	b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?				
New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?	□Yes ⊠ No				
recent notification form?					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?	□Yes □ No				
room program orner.					
Efren Vazquez	1/22/2009				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	1/22/2010				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: The opacity observed was at 0% and the loadi	ing rate was approximately 26.36 tons per hour.				