

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI	SCOVERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLA	INT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0951257 DA	TE: <u>3/19/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>07:50</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> <u>09:00</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK/WINTER GARDEN FACILITY						
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 11901 C.R. 545					
WINTER GARDEN 34787						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Ruben Robledo PHONE: (904)355-1781						
CONTACT NAME:			<b>PHONE:</b> (407)239-2333			
<b>ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:</b> 7/1/2007 / 7/1/2012						
	(effective date) (end date	2)				
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check <b>v</b> only one box)				
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIGN	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	3		
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIR	REMENTS _ Rule 62-296	414 F A C			
(check <b>☑</b> appropria		Nuic 02 250	.111,141.0.			
<b>Stack Emissions</b>						
1. Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	sions tests conducted during	this site visit according to	EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	- ⊠Yes □ No		
2. Are emissions fro	m silos, weigh hoppers (batcl	hers), and other enclosed st	orage and conveying equipment	t		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes  No  uring visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
4. Are emissions fro	m the weigh hopper (batcher)	) operation controlled by the	ne silo dust collector? (If answer			
	"Yes", then continue on to quant continue on to question 5		w. If answer is "No" then 	· ⊠Yes □ No		
a) Was the batchi	ing operation in operation dur	ring the visible emissions t	est?	⊠Yes ☐ No		
			of the normal batching rate and	⊠Yes □ No		
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) o	peration are controlled by	a dust collector, which is separa			
	collector, are the visible emistatching at a rate that is represented		opper (batcher) dust collector ching rate and duration?	□Yes ⊠ No		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check  appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary  ; 2) a relocatable  ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check  only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))	_					
		]				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take re	easonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:						
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard						
application of water or environmentally safe dust-supplemissions?		No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to						
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to rec		No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind b						
	\( \sqrt{Yes} \)	No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en						
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rul	le 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<b>4 42 22 30 4 4 4 7 1.</b>					
<u> </u>						
1. Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?		No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace	cement? $\overline{\square}$ Yes $\overline{\boxtimes}$	No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differen	nt than that noted on the most	-				
recent notification form?		No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the ownε	d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or						
local program office?						
Assefa Hailemariam	3/19/08					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
-						
	~3/19/09					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS: opacity Observed 0%, and loading rate 26.7 tons per	hour.					