

# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NORTHWEST DISTRICT OFFICE 470 HARRISON AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER CARROLL LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

January 11, 2013

### BY ELECTRONIC MAIL grifsand@fairpoint.net

Mr. Wayne Walden Operations Manager Griffin Sand and Concrete Co., Inc. 20301 Evans Avenue Blountstown, Florida 32424

Dear Mr. Walden:

On December, 13 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Griffin Sand and Concrete Co., Inc Blountstown Concrete Batch Plant ID 0130009. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or <u>mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

**Assistant Director** 

CDW/ms

#### **Enclosure**

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us)

Mr. Wayne Walden, Griffin Sand & Concrete (waynewalden08@gmail.com)



### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, IN RE-INSPECTION (F	· —	• • •						
AIRS ID#: 0130009 DATE: <u>12/13/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:00</u>	DEPART: <u>1</u>	<u>1:00</u>					
FACILITY NAME: BLOUNTSTOWN READY MIX PLANT								
FACILITY LOCATION: 20301 NW Ev	vans Ave							
BLOUNTSTO	OWN 32424-1364							
	Mo PH	IONE: (850)674-8664 obile: (850)674-8664 IONE: obile:						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEE  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): WAY		b	(check ☑ only one pox for each question)					
Brief Notes: The annual Method 9 (VE) tes	st was performed for the flyash silo a	at the time of this inspect	tion.					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still WAY! If no, who is?: NA	NE WALDEN?		⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an admir 3. Is the facility contact still WAYNE WALDE If no, who is?: NA			☐ Yes ☐No ☑ Yes ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified			⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No					

## Emissions Unit Section 1 - Cement Silo subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.						
	Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards						
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:						
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>						
	control emissions?	☐ No					
	particulate matter?	☐ No					
	particulate matter from stock piles? \(\sime\) Yes	☐ No					
_	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	∐ No					
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? $\$ N/A $\$ Yes b. If tested: ( <u>NA</u> )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? $\$ N/A $\$ Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u>	=					

### **Emissions Unit Section**

2 – Flyash Silo subject to Reasonable Precautions						
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION						
1. Date of last inspection: 6/13/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?						
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.						
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>						
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:						
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the						

owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne

2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA

4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of

a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ----- N/A

b. If tested: ( $\overline{NA}$ )% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? -----  $\overline{N/A}$ 

particulate matter? ------ X Yes

particulate matter from stock piles? ------ Yes

b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? ---- 🛛 Yes

☐ No

☐ No

☐ No

□ No

☐ No

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	$\overline{\boxtimes}$		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? NA		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4.	$00 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr} \le 1.00?$ 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane.	•		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? N/A		Yes	☐ No
<u>G</u> 1	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	[	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	<b>X</b> 7	
	<ul><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>		res	∐ No
3	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check <b>✓</b> box for each	
<ol> <li>Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan</li> </ol>			•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.		Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N	nt or Local Air Program by telephone, s day prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	business days following a relocation? otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(		☐ No ☐ No
<ul><li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission</li></ul>	arate air construction or air operation pe		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how l	ne purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	ee)? 🗌 Yes	☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b)	(c) are not applicable for this facility	at this time.	
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:		(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo	ocation of the facility or any emissions u	nits or	_
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?			⊠ No
<ul><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace</li><li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is</li><li>d. A change in ownership?</li></ul>	substantially different?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?		bmitted  Yes	☐ No
C. Mark Sumner	December 13 2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Mark Ser	December 2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ir		

COMMENTS: The annual EPA Method 9 visual Emission test for the cement silo was performed by Lisa Swain of HS&E Resources on November 8, 2012 and it was reviewed by the department on December 6, 2012. The annual EPA Method 9 visual Emission test for the flyash silo was performed by Lisa Swain of HS&E Resources on December 13, 2012 and it is due to be submitted within 45 days of the testing. During testing the cement silo was loaded with 26.2 tons, and the flyash silo was loaded with 13.09 tons. This facility has one cement silo and one flyash silo. The emissions for the weigh hopper/batcher is not controlled by the silo dust collector. The weigh hopper/batcher emissions are controlled by a spray bar and a partial enclosure at this facility. The operation of the spray bar was observed, and it appeared to operate as designed. One truck was loaded during this inspection and it appeared that the spray bar and enclosure adequately controls dust emissions at this time. The facility maintains records for the amount of concrete batched from this facility. The plant itself is powered by the electrical grid. The roads and parking areas are maintained to prevent unconfined emissions by application of water, removal of material, and limited paving. The stock piles are wetted and maintained below the height of the bin blocks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter. Please continue with maintenance and housekeeping to ensure all particulate matter is confined to the property boundary.