

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0130009 DATE: <u>2/21/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:20am</u> DEPART: <u>11:05am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: BLOUNTSTOWN READY MIX PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 20301 NW Evans Ave			
BLOUNTSTOWN 32424-1364			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: WAYNE WALDEN PHONE: (850)643-8664			
CONTACT NAME: Wayne Walden PHONE: (850)643-6162			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/24/2007 / 5/24/2012 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □Yes ⋈ No			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART III TRNITARE TERIKERPINI AREIHIRRIMININ RIIIO 67-796/11/1 B.A.L. (2007) 11/10/21			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No		
Annual VE testing must be performed prior to May 15, 2008			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	o ⊠Yes □ No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	the ⊠Yes □ No		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
	e 🗌		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:		1		
 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? □ No 				
application of water or environmentally safe dust-su				
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	paved areas under control of the owner/operato	r to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - F	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4 F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	tule 02 210.000(4)(u) 11,1 1 11.01			
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		☐Yes ⊠ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		□Yes ⊠ No		
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? Tyes No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050	, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?		∐Yes ∐ No		
Gerald Sheehan	02/21/2008			
Geraid Sheenan	02/21/2000			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
1 0	T. T			
COMMENTS. At the time of this inspection no avecesive visible immissions were noted. Retching and leading was not being				

COMMENTS: At the time of this inspection no excessive visiable immisions were noted. Batching and loading was not being performed and the yard was wet from a rain storm. Mr. Walden took me aroung the plant. where he manually activated the spray ring. It was working properly. The various material piles in the yard were being sprayed with water from a sprinkler system. A VE test is scheduled to be performed later this spring (April/May). The last VE test was performed on May 15, 2007. I did not see any signs of any burn piles in the yard.