

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0112605 DATE: <u>1/30/2014</u> ARRIVE: <u>1000</u> DEPART:	<u>1100</u>		
FACILITY NAME: Southen Grouts and Mortars			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1505 SW 2ND PLACE			
POMPANO BEACH 33069-3202			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RON TICOU* PHONE: (954)943-228	8		
Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PAT O'CONNOR* PHONE: (954)943-228 Email: poconnor@sgm.cc Mobile: (954)444-975			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/14/2012 / 5/14/2017 (effective date) (end date)			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Pat O'connor	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RON TICOU*?	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still PAT O'CONNOR*? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CCB Plant-Plt#1, 2silos (sand) w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD ODSERVATIONS - Rule 02-270.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Fires, and Farus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	∇ v	□ Na
particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🖂 Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-Plt#1, 1 silo (cement) w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
TIME IN THE RESIDENCE TO MINE BOTTOM	
1. Date of last inspection: <u>07/29/2013</u>	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? \(\Sigma\) Yes	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \ N/A \ Yes	=
	⊠ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
THE COLUMN TWO IS A STREET OF THE CO	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the assession of the congrete hetching plant take reasonable presentions to control unconfined	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	ļ
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \(\sime\) Yes	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	□ 140
control emissions? Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles.	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? X Yes	□ No
or one or spray out, enute, or partial energials to integrate emissions at the group point is and allow points.	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	⊠ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	_

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-Plt#1, 2 silos (cement) w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
DADTH, EIEI DODGEDVATIONG Dulo 62 206 414(2) E A C		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Tarus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ v	⊠ N.
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-Plt#1, 4 silos (sand) w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
	ļ
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 –CCB Plant-Plt#1, 1 silo (CP FILLER) w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\text{N/A} \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	nfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of	the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		N N
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section 6 –CCB Plant-Plt#1, MAIN, w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
FART I: FILE REVIEW I RIOR TO INSTECTION		
07/00/0010		ļ
1. Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013	<u> </u>	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		∐ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N	/A Yes	⊠ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unc	confined	
emissions by:		
·		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more o	f the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary		
control emissions?		□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	<u> </u>	☐ 110
5) Tellioval of particulate matter from loads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		□ N.
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainmen		
particulate matter from stock piles?	\(Yes	∐ No
	_	_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	:? \ Yes	☐ No
		!
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	⊠ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section
7 – CCB Plant-Plt#2, silo #C (white cement) w/two baghouses subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Yes	□ No □ No □ No
DADTH, EVELD ODGEDVATIONG DL. (2.20(A1A(2)) E.A. C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ied	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	∇ Vac	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	🔼 res	∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	- Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	1cs	⊠ 1 10

Emissions Unit Section

8 - CCB Plant-Plt#2, silo #A (white cement) w/one baghouses subject to Reasonable Precautions

DARKA BW P PROVIDEN ADIOD TO INCREGATION	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	
1. Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \overline Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	_
control emissions? Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	∐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	⊠ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes	🖾 No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 9 -CCB Plant-Plt#2, silo #B (gray cement) w/one baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection:	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No
particulate matter?	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No ⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section 10 –CCB Plant-Plt #2, 2 silos,#'sD&E(sand silos)ea.w/vent filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	s 🔯 No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	No No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_
particulate matter?	_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	No No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	S No

Emissions Unit Section 11 –CCB Plant-Plt#2, 2 sand silos #D&E, 8/30, ea w/vent filter subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 07/29/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	□ No
control emissions?	_	∐ No
particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗵	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?] Yes] Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

Facility Section (continued)

_					
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check vector) box for each	only one h question)		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No		
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gal di	ne/yr	00? □ No		
Gl	GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	_		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- ⊠ Yes	∐ No		
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ✓ only one box for each question)					
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable ☐; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		☐ Yes	☐ No		
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?	Yes	☐ No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ss days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			∐ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit:		□ No		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No		
CHANGES (check ✓ only one box for each question)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subst d. A change in ownership?	antially different?	Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	n form and the appropriate fee subr	nitted Yes	⊠ No		
Elizabeth F. Susky	01/30/2014				
Elizabeth F. Susky Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
·					

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducated on 1/30/2014, AQD staff (E. Susky) observed operations at Southern Grouts and Mortars. Mr. Pat O'connor (manger) was present for the inspection. Mr. Francis Morlu was also conducting the VE test at the time of the inspection. Mr. Morlu stated that there had been no emissions observed. Houskeeping needs some improvement and the site. This was expressed to Mr. O'connor.