

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

October 4, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested eddymac1@hotmail.com

Mr. Ed McLeod General Manager Sunbelt Crushing, LLC 3164 Midtown Park South Mobile, Alabama 36606

Dear Mr. McLeod:

On September 9, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775169. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed.

The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in violation of Rule 62-210.310(2)(a)1., Florida Administrative Code, for locating the crusher to a facility having the potential to emit ten tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant, 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants, or 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant. Through this letter, the Department is exercising its enforcement discretion and will not pursue any additional air enforcement action at this time for the violation cited above. This decision is based on stopping operation of the crusher immediately after being notified of the violation; and promptly moving the crusher off the Eglin site.

Please review the Recommendations section of the report in order to ensure continued compliance in the future.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Carol Melton at 850/595-0616 or carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rick Bradburn

Air Program Administrator

Rich Bradlow

RB/cm/c

Enclosure

c: Harry Fortenberry, Eglin AFB: harry.fortenberry@eglin.af.mil

"More Protection, Less Process" www.dep.state.fl.us



$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPL	AINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)	
R	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS C	OMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 7775169 DATE	2: <u>9/9/10</u>	ARRIVE:	2:00 PM	DEPART:	2:30 PM
FACILITY NAME: SUNE	BELT CRUSHING - PLA	NT #1			
FACILITY LOCATION:	JSF 33rd Taxiway				
	EGLIN AFB 3254	2			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE:	R HOLLISTER		(251)479-445	2
Email: CONTACT NAME: EDV	VARD MCLEOD		Mobile: PHONE:	(251)479-445	2
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD): 10/21/2007 / 10/2	1/2012	Mobile:	(251)327-292	9
	(effective date) (end date	te)			
PART I: INSPECTION C	OMDI IANCE STATIK	S (abaak 🔽 anly	one hov)		
		-		T. V. GO. 101	
☑ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-Co	OMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICAN	Γ Non-COMPL	IANCE
PART II-A: AIR GENERA		-210.310, F.A.C.			
(check 🗹 appropriate	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		(0.040.040(A) T		
GENERAL PROCEDU 1.Does this facility keep	records to show that it do			A.C.	
a) 10 tons per year of	or more of any hazardous	air pollutant?		[∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
	or more of any combination				
		ulated air pollutant	s?	[∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
2. Does this facility cor					
	ts or activities not covered ities that are exempt from				
or Rule 62-4.040.	, F.A.C.?;	r permitting pursua		[Yes No N/A
	ts or activities authorized				
general permit an	nd the air general permit of ty?	of interest specification	lly allow the use of	f one another	TX M. N NI/A
at the same facilit	ıy ?				Yes No N/A
GENERAL PROCEDU	RES – <u>Initial</u> Registrati	on/Re-registratio	<u>n</u> – Rule 62-210.31	10(2)(b), F.A.C	l '•
	erator of this facility comp pecific air general permit				
•					Yes No N/A
	ERAL PERMITS – Rul				
(check appropriate l		. 6.1 6 11.	0	ſ	
	-	-			Yes No N/A
a re-registration?					Tuire Yes ⊠ No □ N/A
GENERAL CONDITION	<u>ONS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(2	3), F.A.C.			

11	Does the air general permit registration form contain all current information regarding the facility?;
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?;
3.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?;
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?; Yes No No
4.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?
	II-B: <u>DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY</u>
· ·	heck v only one box)
	OR FACILTIES SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(1))
(11	f you have checked \square this category, answer <u>all</u> questions <u>INCLUDING</u> those with **.)
hot m	abject Facilities: (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, but evator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mill ix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)
□ F(OD TA CHAMPER MOTERATION TO A 40 CERT REAL CORRESPONDED TO A 4
	OR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) f you have checked this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)
(If No gr sa plants,	
(If No gr sa plants,	f you have checked this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) on-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers inding mills; facilities subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed nd & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants
No gr sa plants, w/	f you have checked this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) on-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crusher inding mills; facilities subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed nd & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants
PART	f you have checked this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) on-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crusher inding mills; facilities subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed nd & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants /capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.) THI: EMISSION STANDARDS – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C.
PART Stac **1.	f you have checked this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) on-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crusher inding mills; facilities subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed and & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants //capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.) THI: EMISSION STANDARDS − Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. check appropriate box(es)) Ex Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?
PART Stac **1.	f you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.) on-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crusher inding mills; facilities subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed and & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants //capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.) **THI: EMISSION STANDARDS − Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C. check ☑ appropriate box(es)) **Ex Emissions - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)? Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.310(5)(e), F.A.C., Cont. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin exceed 7% percent opacity?
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?
**2. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes 🗵 No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point NOT subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than 20% percent opacity?
Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed in a building? (<i>If answer to question #4 is YES</i> , then proceed to #4.a))
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (<i>If</i> answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).) \[\sum \text{Yes} \sum \text{NO} \]
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control device is: 1) the particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?
2) the opacity greater than $\underline{7}\%$ percent? \square Yes \square No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed $\underline{7}\%$ percent opacity? \square Yes \square No
**5. Do visible emissions from any: **a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10% percent opacity?
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity? Yes No
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin?
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line?

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3, F.A.C.) 1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3.e., F.A.C.)				
Compliance New Facilities − (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?				
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?				
<u>Test Methods and Procedures</u> – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.				
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?				
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No				
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17? Yes No				
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.310(5)(e)3., F.A.C.)[Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and				
40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]				
Facility and/or Equipment Replacement				
**7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:				
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment?				
**b) for a Screening Operation, **1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation? Yes No				
**c) for a Conveyor Belt, **1)the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt? Yes No				
**d) for a Storage Bin, **1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?				
Performance/Compliance Testing				
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?				
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test?				
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar quarters?				

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity (using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(e))?	ith			
Process Changes				
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then answer either a)1) or a)2) below.</i>)	☐ Yes ☐ No			
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system: **1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? (Note: The unsaturated material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of 40 CFR 60.11 and Subpart OOO.)	☐ Yes ☐ No			
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? (Note: The saturated material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(h).) (If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.))			
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the change?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Notification Requirements				
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant?	⊠ Yes □ No			
PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C.				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable; 2) stationary; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check R only one box above.) (NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer of the stationary and relocatable the stati	box for			
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.) a) If this is a relocatable facility was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a				
	☐ Yes ☐ No			
b) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from o deposits? (<i>If your answer to this question is <u>NO</u>, please proceed to question 1) below.</i>)	onsite □ Yes ⊠ No □ Yes □ No			
c) If this is a stationary facility , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	☐ Yes ☐ No			

PART V: OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY - Rule 62-210.310, F.A.C. (Contecheck	tinued)
**2. Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.</i>)	to
**a) Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for: **1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	
**2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	☐ Yes ☐ No
**b) Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐ Yes ☐ No
**1) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	Yes No
**2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	☐ Yes ☐ No
PART VI: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (<i>Please check</i> ☑ <i>only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants, located with stationary or relocatable concreted batching plants:a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐ Yes ☐ No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel	☐ Yes ☐ No
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline	
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas	
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane	
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite	
3. Does the owner/operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	
r	
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an	
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
 4. Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>routine function</u> of a facility (not a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant?	☐ Yes ☐ No

PART VII: REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION CONTROL MEASURES & TECHNOLOGY – Rule 62-					
210.310(5)(e)3.c., F.A.C.					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
 Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant take reasonable precautions to control u emissions by: 					
 a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars loc crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor de 					
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas,					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust emissions?	l □ Yes □ No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No					
reduction of stock pile height, or installation of w particulate matter from stock piles?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
5) landscaping and/or the planting of vegetation?		☐ Yes ☐ No			
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equipmed matter?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
PART VIII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	S – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	•				
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alteration of existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?					
Carol Melton	9/9/10				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

COMMENTS: The design capacity of this crusher is >150 tons per hour, and is regulated by 40CFR60, subpart OOO. During this unannounced inspection, the rock crusher was found on the Eglin site next to a runway, and was operating. A water source was being used to control fugitive emissions. I met Mr. Ed McLeod, the owner/authorized representative or responsible official for Sunbelt, at the site and reminded him of the annual requirement to conduct a visible emissions test. Our records indicate that for most of the past 12 months the crusher has been located out of the State of Florida. The crusher was relocated to Eglin Air Force Base, a Title V facility, on September 9, 2010. Since Eglin Air Force Base has the potential to emit 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant, Sunbelt appears to be in violation of Rule 62-210.310(2)(a)1, F.A.C. This Rule provides that: "The facility shall not emit nor have the potential to emit ten (10) tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant, twenty-five (25) tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants, or one hundred (100) tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant; be collocated with, or relocated to, such a facility; or create such a facility in combination with any other collocated facilities, emissions units, or pollutant-emitting activities, including any such facility, emissions unit, or activity that is otherwise exempt from air permitting." On September 14, 2010, Ed McLeod was telephoned and notified of the concern. Mr. McLeod indicated he would stop operation of the crusher and would contact his client associated with the Air Force Base to discuss the matter. On September 15, 2010 we received a "Facility Relocation Notification" for this facility 7775169 to move to a site in Fort Walton Beach. The relocation notification also indicated the crusher shutdown on the day they were notified that operating on Eglin Air Force Base was in violation of the eligibility for their general permit. Given the quick response to our concern, compliance without enforcement is within reason.