

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 0830146 <b>DA</b>	TE: <u>10/31/08</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:58</u>	DEPART: <u>11:25</u>
FACILITY NAME: BE	ELLEVIEW CONCRETE BAT	CH PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 13685 SE 31ST AVE		
	BELLEVIEW 34421	L-	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: MI	ICHAEL MAHONEY PHO	<b>DNE:</b> (561)478-9980
CONTACT NAME:		РНС	ONE:
ENTITLEMENT PERIO		13	
	(effective date) (end date)		
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (	check only one box)	
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE
	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRE	<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414	, F.A.C.
(check <b>I</b> appropriate	te box(es))		
<u>Stack Emissions</u> 1. Were visible emis	ssions tests conducted during th	is site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
2. Are emissions fro	om silos, weigh hoppers (batche	ers), and other enclosed storag	
	extent necessary to limit visible hissions tests of the silo dust col		ty?
at a rate that is rep		loading rate, or at least at the	minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
4. Are emissions fro	om the weigh hopper (batcher) of	operation controlled by the sil	o dust collector? (If answer
skip 4.a) and 4.b)		)	
	ing operation in operation durir ible emissions test, was the bate		ne normal batching rate and
	the weigh hopper (batcher) ope		Yes No st collector, which is separate
from the silo dust	collector, are the visible emiss	ions tests of the weigh hopper	-

ART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes \sum No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?  Yes □ No
ART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check  appropriate box(es))
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions — (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————					
Malik Pickering	10/31/08				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_			
	10/31/2011				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

**COMMENTS:** All boxes that are blank are non applicable. The latest VE test was done in March of 2008. I met with Steve, the plant manager and had him showed me around. I informed him that Prestige AB Management had sent us a

I met with Steve, the plant manager and had him showed me around. I informed him that Prestige AB Management had sent us a letter stating that they will be suspending operations at this plant. He informed me that they just decided to run it as a satelite plant because business has been very slow. I informed him that they should have sent us a letter by fax or email to let us know. He said that Mike MAhoney should be sending us something soon. Everything at the plant was in good standing during our walkthrough inspection. After I left I called Mike Mahoney to confirm that he will be sending us another letter. Mike said he will be sending it soon.