

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775167 DATE: <u>5/10/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:48 AM</u> DEPART:	11:06 AM				
FACILITY NAME: FEC QUARRY-PORTABLE PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 13292 NW 118TH AVE MEDLEY 33178-3106					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/29/2012 / 4/29/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)818-499 Mobile: (813)476-118	35 55				
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADE H. ONGER INTRODUCTORY MERTING					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): DEVON COPPOCK	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEVON COPPOCK*? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DEVON COPPOCK*? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	- ☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-primcrusherw/3conveybelts550hpdieselRICE,500T/hr

		(check ☑	only one		
	ł	ox for each	auestion)		
Te	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		,		
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,			
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant				
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	□No		
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	☐ Yes	□No		
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No		
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	□No		
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,				
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;				
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,				
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;				
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing				
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining				
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping				
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing				
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)				
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in				
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through				
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building				
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}				
su	If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.				
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or				
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process				
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	□No		
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a				
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No		
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a				
Q	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	∐No		
σ.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No		
	equal to 7 megagrams/nour (10 tons/nour):	☐ 1 ES	1∜0		

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-primcrusherw/3 conveybelts 550 hpdiesel RICE, 500 T/hr}$

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu	
	solely by wel suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
-0	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finition storage out in the production fine:		140
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1£	anguar to any of the six Overtions 5, 10, above is "Ves" than the EU is not subject to		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When was the EU last constructed modified or reconstructed?		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
14	. was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ i es	NO
Ι£	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
IJ	unswer to Question 12 is 100 skip the jouowing questions and go affectly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
13	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
	1100ds, rails, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device:	Lites	
Ιf	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
IJ	unswer to Question 13 is 140 skip the jouowing questions and go affectly to Question 17		
14	Initial Tests:		
17	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
		=	=
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No
15	If the EIL is a building analoging any other regulated EIIs and all analoged EIIs are not		
13	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	□ x z	□ NT.
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	∐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	∐No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-primcrusherw/3 conveybelts 550 hpdiesel RICE, 500 T/hr}$

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after $4/22/2008$ skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-primcrusherw/3 conveybelts 550 hpdiesel RICE, 500 T/hr}$

22. If the EU is a building enclosing ar	ny other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with en	nissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo				
		🗌 N	√A Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	hich there is mechanical	lly induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building	ng air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}				
b. Was the EU found to be in compl	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	? Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?)	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	ne	
		al basis in accordance with mar		
				□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pr				
and	,			
b. a device for the continuous measu	arement of the scrubbing	g liquid flow rate to the wet scru	ibber and the	
		lance with manufacturer's instru		□No
		manufacturer to be accurate with		
of design scrubbing liquid flow		Thursday of to to decirate with		
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	ntor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			years? Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		see seen tested within the past s	years res	
		endar years?	Yes	□No
		ar year?		□No
		/		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow	<i>vner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?		□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?			□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	nest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Yes	□No
a. Dia me (Brest demonstrate com)	primite with the spacify	maner (See chart sers ").		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>in</i>	spector for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ding to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Yes	□No
	priance with the opacity			
	VE Opac	city Limits		
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU				T
	40 CFR 60	_	_	
		constructed, modified,	constructed, mod	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed	on or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	
-	-1	•	1	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
If no, where are uncommed emissions occurring?			
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No	
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	□ No	
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No	
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each o	only one	
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		_	
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No	
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ————————————————————————————————————			
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?			
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□No	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?			

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No ?
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	box for each	question)
2.	Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- Yes	□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		□No
	permit and Department rules?	- Yes	□No
RF	ELOCATABLE PLANT		only one
1.	The facility: \square is stationary; \boxtimes is relocatable; or \square consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	question)
	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No
	the permitted facility?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐No □No

 <u>CHANGES</u> <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num 		only one each question)		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3	location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Y	es \(\sum_{\text{No}}\) es \(\sum_{\text{No}}\)		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
FRANK DELGADO	5/10/2013			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_		
	5/2014			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS: THE CRUSHER HAS NOT OPERATED FOR OVER A YEAR.				

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 2:08 pm, May 28, 2013