

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 7775167 DATE: <u>8/6/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:22 AM</u> DEPART:	11:28 AM				
FACILITY NAME: FEC QUARRY-PORTABLE PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 13292 NW 118TH AVE MEDLEY 33178-3106					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: DEVON COPPOCK* Email: DevonH.Coppock@cemex.com Entitlement Period: 4/29/2012 / 4/29/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)818-495 Mobile: (813)476-118	35 55				
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADE H. ONCIDE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): DEVON COPPOCK	(check v only one box for each question)				
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still DEVON COPPOCK*? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DEVON COPPOCK*? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo YesNo				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-primcrusherw/3conveybelts550hpdieselRICE,500T/hr

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	⊠ Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	uir currying particulate matter (1 iii) emissions from one or more affected 20s.)		
If :	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
٥.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
		Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		<u></u>
•	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-primcrusherw/3 conveybelts 550 hpdiesel RICE, 500 T/hr}$

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
10	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-primcrusherw/3 conveybelts 550 hpdiesel RICE, 500 T/hr}$

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	<u> </u>	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	<u> </u>	Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	<u> </u>	Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

$\underline{1-NMMP\ Plant-primcrusherw/3 conveybelts 550 hpdiesel RICE, 500 T/hr}$

22.If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			I/A [Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	tte matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					_
b. Was the EU found to be in compli				Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	missions from the EU?	·	[Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint			'		
a. a device for the continuous measu		oss of the gas stream through th	ne		
		al basis in accordance with man			
instructions?				Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device r	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wit	thin +250		_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	-				
and					
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	g liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the		
device has been calibrated on a				Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device r	must be certified by the	manufacturer to be accurate wit	thin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24 When we at the least WE took our drest	. d h., 4h.,	40 - 60 - 4h - EU9 6/2/2010			
24. When was the last VE test conduct				¬ v _{oo}	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		to been tested within the past 3	years? [Yes	□No
i. has the EU been tested durin		ander veere?	1	Yes	⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested duffing				Yes	⊠No
n. has the Lo been tested yet w	tum the current calcing	ar year:		103	ZJ140
25. Was a VE test conducted by the on	<i>ner/operator</i> for this u	nit during this site visit?	[Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes	☐No
Rate:			•		
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?		[Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	nest six-minute average.	•		_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	· [Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins					⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		Yes	∐No
Rate:				_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	VE Opac	city Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart (OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	construct	ed, modif	fied,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recons		
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22		
G 1 11	20%	15%	uitel Till	12%	
(Trisher with no cantilre system					
Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

RI	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
	emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? ————————————————————————————————————	☐ Yes	□ No
	b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \[\] N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	No
2.	Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? ROCK QUARRY	or	□No
	b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		⊠No

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	ne/yr		No No No No No
CI	ENERAL CONDITIONS		. 🖂	
				only one uestion)
1.	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	_	•	
2.	pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator:		Yes	⊠No
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		Yes	□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	□No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)			only one (uestion)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]		□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	tion	Yes	⊠No
	the permitted facility?	=	Yes Yes	□No □No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30	cation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes \inftyNo		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?			
FRANK DELGADO	8/6/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	8/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		
COMMENTS: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS RESCHED			

THE CRUSHER DID NOT OPERATE THE LAST SIX (6) MONTHS OF 2011.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:58 am, Aug 14, 2012