

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE		
R	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:	
AIRS ID#: 0710211 DATE	E: <u>12/08/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:30 A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>10:20</u>	A.M.
FACILITY NAME: HARV	VEY-ENGLEHARDT-METZ	FUNERAL HOME		
FACILITY LOCATION:	1600 COLONIAL BLVI	D		
	FORT MYERS 33907			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT SHEEHAN PHONE: (239)936-2177				
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	D:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD	1/12/2008 / 1/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)			
<u> </u>	OMPLIANCE STATUS (ch			
	☐ MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICAN	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	Ε
			. ~	
PART II: TESTING/RECO		MENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.	A.C.	
(check appropriate b1. Were there any object	oox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected?	·		☐ Yes ⊠ No
 (check appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 	box(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this		thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No ⊠Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGI 	ctionable odor(s) detected? ons test conducted during this ate individual source complian P Notification form submissio	site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 	ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this ate individual source complian P Notification form submissio (i), F.A.C.)	site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) In order to demonstrated demonstrated within 60 	cox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this cate individual source compliant P Notification form submission (i), F.A.C.) ate individual source compliant O days prior to the AGP Notific	site visit according to EPA Me nce, was an annual visible emiss on, and within 60 days prior to e nce were the remaining application form submission? (Rule	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)	✓Yes ☐ No✓Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, completed within 60 and completed wit	cox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this ate individual source complian P Notification form submissio (i), F.A.C.) ate individual source complian O days prior to the AGP Notific (CO) emissions equal to or b corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly	site visit according to EPA Mennee, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to EPA Mennee and the state of the prior to EPA Mennee and the EPA Me	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method	Yes □ NoYes □ NoYes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, con 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test performance of the property of the pr	cox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this ate individual source compliant P Notification form submission (i), F.A.C.) ate individual source compliant O days prior to the AGP Notific (CO) emissions equal to or beorrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly -297, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Mennee, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining applicate acation form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method	✓Yes ☐ No✓Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, control (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perforce) Particulate matter 	ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this ate individual source compliant P Notification form submission (i), F.A.C.) ate individual source compliant O days prior to the AGP Notific e (CO) emissions equal to or be orrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly -297, F.A.C.)? ormed according to EPA Meth r emissions test with results eq	site visit according to EPA Mennee, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per	Yes □ NoYes □ NoYes □ NoYes □ No
(check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 4. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, con 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perforc) Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter.62-29)	cox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this cate individual source compliant P Notification form submission (i), F.A.C.) ate individual source compliant D days prior to the AGP Notific e (CO) emissions equal to or beorrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly -297, F.A.C.)? commed according to EPA Meth r emissions test with results equation (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected to (7, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Mence, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to 100 per per submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to 100 per per submission? (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A. pual to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) earts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5	Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate b Were there any object Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrated days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxided volume, dry basis, consumption 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perforce of Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter.62-29 Was all emissions test capacity?	cox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this ate individual source compliant P Notification form submission (i), F.A.C.) ate individual source compliant O days prior to the AGP Notific e (CO) emissions equal to or be corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly -297, F.A.C.)? corrected according to EPA Mether emissions test with results equal to the control of the	site visit according to EPA Mence, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to 07% O ₂ and tested according to the operating at the manufacturer	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) earts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per b EPA Method 5	
(check ☑ appropriate b 1. Were there any object 2. Was a visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate days prior to the AGI (Rule 62-296.401(5)) 4. In order to demonstrate completed within 60 a) Carbon Monoxide volume, dry basis, consumply 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-b) Oxygen test perform c) Particulate matter dry standard cubic for (Ref.: Chapter.62-29) 5. Was all emissions test capacity? 6. Was CO & PM composition of the composition of	cox(es)) ctionable odor(s) detected? cons test conducted during this cate individual source compliant P Notification form submission (i), F.A.C.) cate individual source compliant O days prior to the AGP Notifice (CO) emissions equal to or beorrected to 7% O ₂ on an hourly -297, F.A.C.)? cormed according to EPA Meth the emissions test with results equal to (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected to (7, F.A.C.)?	site visit according to EPA Mence, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to 100 per per submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 py average basis and tested according to 100 per per submission? (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A. pual to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? ble standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) barts per million by rding to EPA Method A.C.)? ts of 0.080 grains per b EPA Method 5 rs recommended entical crematory unit? compliance test?	Yes

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	cording of such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
of of the first of the state of	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes □ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	□Yes □ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	□Yes □ No
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions:	
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tire	ma
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	△ 1es □ No
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600 °F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	⊠Yes □ No
	Mx
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	□xz
this location?	□Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUL A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantia	hout replacement? Tyes No lly different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?			
ROBERT J. STEWART	12/08/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	12/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

COMMENTS: Visible Emission (V.E.) test performed on crematory unit for emissions. Unit was found to be in compliance. The interior of the crematory unit was relined with new brick approximately six months ago with all equipment sensors recalibrated .