NOWERTAL PROTECTION	
San Van	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [ RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER			
AIRS ID#: 7775163 DATE: <u>7/15/2009</u> FACILITY NAME: CARIEQUIPMENT INC.	ARRIVE: <u>8:53 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:51 AM</u>		
FACILITY LOCATION: 5800 NW 122 Avenu MIAMI 33166				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: S CONTACT NAME: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/27/2007 / 4/26/20 (effective date) (end date	<b>PHONE</b> 012	: (305)592-2144 :		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS       (check ☑ only one box)         ☑ IN COMPLIANCE       ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIE</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during t 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batch controlled to the extent necessary to limit visib</li> <li>During visible emissions tests of the silo dust c at a rate that is representative of the normal sild unless such rate is unachievable in practice?</li> <li>Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5 a) Was the batching operation in operation dur b) During the visible emissions test, was the ba duration?</li> </ol> </li> <li>If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) o from the silo dust collector, are the visible emission dust conducted while batching at a rate that is represented to the store of the silo dust collector.</li> </ul>	this site visit according to EPA Methods ners), and other enclosed storage an le emissions to 5 percent opacity? ollector exhaust points was the load o loading rate, or at least at the mini- o operation controlled by the silo du uestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ 5.)	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
<ul> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>
<b>Test Reports</b> – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
-------------------------------	--

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary : 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check Zonly one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processis plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i> ), <i>thru 2.d</i> ), <i>below</i> .)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
	<ul><li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?</li><li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li><li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?</li></ul>	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? XYes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. 5	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
		installation of any new process equipment?		🛛 No
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	_	
		recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	_	_
		local program office?	<b>Yes</b>	∐ No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

7/15/2009

Date of Inspection

7/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** THE VE TEST ON THE CEMENT SILO STARTED AT 9:09 AM. THE SILO WAS LOADED WITH CEMENT AT A RATE OF 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.