

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL	(INS1, INS2) \square COM	PLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
RE-INSPE	CTION (FUI) ARM	S COMPLAINT NO			
AIRS ID#: 7775163 DATE: <u>10/8/20</u>	008 ARRIV	E: <u>10:18 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>10:35 AN</u>	1	
FACILITY NAME: CARIEQUIPM	ENT INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 5800	NW 122 Avenue				
MIA	MI 33166				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRES	ENTATIVE: SERGIO MAR	RTINEZ PHONE	: (305)592-2144		
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/27/2007 / 4/26/2012					
(effectiv	e date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIA	NCE STATUS (check 🗹 or	nly one box)			
		· —	TEN COMPLIANCE		
	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICAN	T Non-COMPLIANCE		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ I	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE		T Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check appropriate box(es))					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests co	EPING REQUIREMENTS – onducted during this site visit a	Rule 62-296.414, F.	A.C. thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	JYes ⊠ No	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests concept for the co	onducted during this site visit a	Rule 62-296.414, F.	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weight controlled to the extent necessors. 3. During visible emissions tests	enducted during this site visit and the arry to limit visible emissions to the silo dust collector exhaust	Rule 62-296.414, F.A. according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar o 5 percent opacity?- ust points was the load	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted	Yes No	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weight controlled to the extent necess of the extent necess at a rate that is representative of the unless such rate is unachievable.	cepting requirements – conducted during this site visit a conducted during the site of the silo dust collector exhaust of the normal silo loading rate, le in practice?	Rule 62-296.414, F.2 according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar o 5 percent opacity?- ust points was the load or at least at the min	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted mum 25 tons per hour rate	Yes No	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh controlled to the extent necess of a rate that is representative of unless such rate is unachievable to this question is "Yes", then	conducted during this site visit a conducted during the conducted condu	Rule 62-296.414, F.2 according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar o 5 percent opacity?- ust points was the load or at least at the min entrolled by the silo du and 4.b) below. If ans	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted fimum 25 tons per hour rate st collector? (If answer wer is "No" then	☑Yes ☐ No c, ☐Yes ☐ No	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weign controlled to the extent necess of a rate that is representative of unless such rate is unachievable to this question is "Yes", then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continued.	charge to limit visible emissions to the silo dust collector exhance the normal silo loading rate, le in practice?	Rule 62-296.414, F.A. according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar o 5 percent opacity?- ust points was the load or at least at the min entrolled by the silo du and 4.b) below. If ans	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted fimum 25 tons per hour rate st collector? (If answer wer is "No" then		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh controlled to the extent necess. 3. During visible emissions tests at a rate that is representative of unless such rate is unachievable. 4. Are emissions from the weigh to this question is "Yes", then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue a) Was the batching operation b) During the visible emission.	conducted during this site visit and other ary to limit visible emissions to of the silo dust collector exhaust of the normal silo loading rate, le in practice?	Rule 62-296.414, F.A. according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar o 5 percent opacity?- ust points was the load or at least at the min ntrolled by the silo du and 4.b) below. If ans e emissions test? erpresentative of the no	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted mum 25 tons per hour rate st collector? (If answer wer is "No" then	Yes No Yes No Yes No	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weign controlled to the extent necess of a rate that is representative of unless such rate is unachievable to this question is "Yes", then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue a) Was the batching operation b) During the visible emission duration?	conducted during this site visit and the arry to limit visible emissions to of the silo dust collector exhaust of the normal silo loading rate, le in practice?	Rule 62-296.414, F.2 according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar to 5 percent opacity?- sist points was the load or at least at the min entrolled by the silo du and 4.b) below. If ans the emissions test? expresentative of the no- controlled by a dust co-	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted fimum 25 tons per hour rate st collector? (If answer wer is "No" then formal batching rate and follector, which is separate	Yes No Yes No Yes No	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEE (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests con 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh controlled to the extent necess. 3. During visible emissions tests at a rate that is representative of unless such rate is unachievable. 4. Are emissions from the weigh to this question is "Yes", then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue a) Was the batching operation b) During the visible emission duration?	conducted during this site visit and other ary to limit visible emissions to of the silo dust collector exhaust of the normal silo loading rate, le in practice?	Rule 62-296.414, F.2 according to EPA Me er enclosed storage ar to 5 percent opacity?- ast points was the load or at least at the min entrolled by the silo du and 4.b) below. If ans the emissions test? epresentative of the no- controlled by a dust co the weigh hopper (ba	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter d conveying equipment ding of the silo conducted fimum 25 tons per hour rate st collector? (If answer wer is "No" then formal batching rate and follector, which is separate sttcher) dust collector	Yes	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)					
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)					
amula compilate demonstration. (rate 02 257.510(7)(a), 1.11.6.)					
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?					
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?					
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
	le 🗌				
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————					
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing				
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing Yes No Yes No				

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
application of water or environmentally safe dust-supple emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pave					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind by					
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rule	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1.6: 4.1.4.1					
1. Since the last inspection has there been		□Yes ⊠ No			
a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form?					
recent notification form? \square Yes \square N d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FA	AC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		□Yes □ No			
ED ANIZ DEL CADO	10/8/08				
FRANK DELGADO	10/8/08				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	10/2009				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: PLANT WAS NOT OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED BY ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ON JULY 15, 2008.