MEDWERTUL PROTECTION	
FLORIDA	

## HUMAN CREMATORY



### **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC			
AIRS ID#: 0830056 DATE: <u>3/19/14</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:25</u>	DEPART: <u>1:50</u>		
FACILITY NAME: HIERS-BAXLEY FUNERALS	SVCS-OCALA CREMATORY	Y		
FACILITY LOCATION: 910 E SILVER SPR	RINGS BLVD			
OCALA 34470-67	708			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:		<b>IONE:</b> (352)629-7171		
Email: andrew.clarke@hiers-baxley.net CONTACT NAME: ANDREW CLARK* Email: andrew.clarke@hiers-baxley.net ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/17/2012 / 9/17/2 (effective date) (end date)	РН Ма 2017	obile: IONE: (352)629-7171 obile:		
Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Daren D. Dr         Brief Notes:		(check $\square$ only one box for each question)		
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still ANDREW C If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	CLARK*?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrati 3. Is the facility contact still ANDREW CLARK*? If no, who is?:				
<ol> <li>Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea</li> </ol>				

#### Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – Human Crematory-prim/2ndarychbrs,NGfired,opac.mon.100lbs/hr</u>

DA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1 17	KI I: <u>FILE REVIEW FRIOR TO INSTECTION</u>	(check 🗹	
		box for each	question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		
	after August 30, 1989?	Yes	🖾No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	—	
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	∐ Yes	No
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
	Date of last inspection: 2/24/2010		
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	$\nabla$ V $\sim$	
	<ul><li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li><li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		INO
	operation? N/A	T Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test: 2/3/2014		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	X Yes	No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	$ \boxtimes$ Yes	No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
ПА	ρτη, νησιρί ε εμισσιούς τεστινό		
ĽΑ	RT II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
1.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
r	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	$\Box$ Vec	🖾No
4.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	L 103	
	Yes		
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.		
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	- 🗌 Yes	No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa	rds?	
		Yes	🖾No
	If yes, what reason?		
_			
PA	RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	•
			•
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- 🗌 Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	(1, 10)	
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
u	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
-	time at $1,800^1$ $1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	X Yes	No
	(Application or initial notification: <sup>1</sup> received on or after 8/30/89; <sup>2</sup> received before 8/30/89)		

#### PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes	No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (3/7/14)	🛛 Yes	🗌No
	4) Adjustments	Yes	🖾No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes	No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes	No
d	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
•••	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🖂 Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	T Yes	XNo
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	lly	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	🔲 Yes	No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	🗌No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

<b>P</b> <i>I</i>	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check $\square$ box for each	
1.	<ul> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremating process begins in the primary chamber?</li></ul>		□No □No
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	-	_

throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🖂 Yes	No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat	ion	
process begins in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes	No

PA	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check $\blacksquare$ box for each	
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- 🗌 Yes	XNo
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	No
<ol> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li></ol>	- Xes	□No □No □No ⊠No

# PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check $\blacksquare$ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	s or	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	Yes	□No □No □No □No □No

Daniel K. Hall

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

KThe

Inspector's Signature

March 19, 2014

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility was inspected for compliance with air regulations and found to be in compliance.