

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

March 5, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested jpgibson@cox.net

Mr. Jeffery Gibson, Owner Gulf Coast Pet Crematory, Inc. 4771 Bayou Boulevard, PMB 194 Pensacola, Florida 32503

Dear Mr. Gibson:

On February 28, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330270. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. Please note that authority to operate this facility expires on September 22, 2012. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0330270 DATE: <u>2/28/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:28 AM</u> DEPA	ART: <u>12:05 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: GULF COAST PET CREMATORY				
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 550 W NINE MILE RD				
PENSACOLA 32534				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFERY GIBSON Email: jpgibson@cox.net CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/22/2007 / 9/22/2012 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (850)43 Mobile: (850)23 PHONE: Mobile:				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Jeff Gibson  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFERY GIBSON?	X YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?  If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?				

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –Dual Chamber Animal Crematory (Power-PAK II)

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one question)
a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	⊠ Yes	□м
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 150 🗵 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		□No
<ol> <li>Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li></ol>	Yes	⊠No
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		⊠No
operation?	Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	Yes Yes	□No □No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ 1es	NO
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each of	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
a. Operating capacity during test?		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations' d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No □No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.  f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute	s in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test?	Yes	⊠No
YesNo c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations'	? Yes	□No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo		
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.  f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute		
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa	rds?	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		∠ <b>∀</b> 10

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check <b>☑</b> only one	
	1	box for each	question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?		Yes	⊠No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters w Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected-		Scale: 1-10 (v	worst)
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –			
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperature	ires in the		
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas		Yes	□No
time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?		⊠ Yes	□No
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two y  (1) All temperature measurements  (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing me		⊠ Yes	□No
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations		Yes Yes	□No
(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on) (4) Adjustments		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No □No
(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		⊠ Yes	□No
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices		Yes	□No
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature marking</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	S	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?		lly □ Yes	□No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber wheneve exceeds 15% opacity?		Yes	□No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper oper accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		Yes	□No
		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES		box for each	
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:     a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than	1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before			□No
process begins in the primary chamber?		Yes	□No
2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less the throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		⊠ Yes	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before process begins in the primary chamber?	ore the cremation		□No
process begins in the primary entitiber.			
DADT V. ALLOWED MATERIALS	<del></del>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		oox for cacil	questionj
Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and all are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?  If yes, what other materials?			⊠No
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? ———————————————————————————————————		∑ Yes     ∑ Yes	□No □No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li></ol>	⊠ Yes	□No □No □No			
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	Yes Yes	□No □No			
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)					
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE				
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one question)			
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Yes	⊠No ⊠No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>			
Chris Stoll  Inspector's Name (Please Print)  Date of Inspection  2/2013  Approximate Date of Next Inspection	pection				

**COMMENTS:** On February 28, 2012, a compliance inspection was conducted at the Gulf Coast Pet Crematory located in Escambia County. The cremation unit was not in operation at the time of the inspection. During the inspection, the owner/operator of the cremation unit provided me with records of the continuous temperature measurements for the previous 12-month period and documentation of the composition of the cremation bags. Testing for visible emissions was last performed on November 2, 2011. During the 30-minute Method 9 test, 0% opacity was observed.