

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	Γ ΝΟ:		
AIRS ID#: 0330270 DA	TE: <u>10/27/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:30</u>	DEPART: 10:15		
FACILITY NAME: GU	ILF COAST PET CREMATOR	RY			
FACILITY LOCATION	550 W NINE MILE RI	D			
	PENSACOLA 32534	1			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: JEI	FFERY GIBSON PHO	ONE: (850)437-9639		
CONTACT NAME: Je	eff Gibson	PH	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 9/22/2007 / 9/22/201 (effective date) (end date)	.2			
	COMPLIANCE STATUS (
⊠ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	IPLIANCE SIGNIFI	ICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	E	
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.40	1, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate	te box(es))				_
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any ob-			·	Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obe Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 	te box(es)) njectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi	is site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obeside Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demon days prior to the Angles 	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible aion, and within 60 days prio	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I	 □Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obeside Was a visible eminimal of the following of the following prior to the following prior to demondant of the following of the fol	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source compliance AGP Notification form submiss F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible of the control of the	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I	— □Yes Rule □Yes	NoNo
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any observed in the second of the secon	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source compliants F.A.C.) strate individual source compliants 60 days prior to the AGP Noticide (CO) emissions equal to or	is site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible estion, and within 60 days prio	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I conducted to each anniversary date) plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by	 □Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demon days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti cide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible ention, and within 60 days priorance were the remaining appring fication form submission? (In the below the requirements of a rely average basis and tested and tested and the state of t	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method	— □Yes Rule ⊠Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesity of the properties of the prop	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti cide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Me tter emissions test with results of	ance, was an annual visible of the control of the c	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (Incomplicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method ements of 0.080 grains per	— □Yes Rule □Yes □Yes	NoNoNoNo
(check ☑ appropriated) 1. Were there any obtoo 2. Was a visible emision of the second days prior to the second days prior to the second days prior to demon days prior to demon days prior to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate man dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62-	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during this	ance, was an annual visible of ance, was an annual visible of ance were the remaining appropriation form submission? (It is below the requirements of a rly average basis and tested of thod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29° equal to or below the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the required to 7% O ₂ and tested according to EPA.	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (Incomplicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method ements of 0.080 grains per ing to EPA Method 5	— Yes Rule Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
(check ☑ appropriated) 1. Were there any observed with the properties of the prope	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noti cide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Me tter emissions test with results of c foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected	ance, was an annual visible of ance, was an annual visible of ance were the remaining appropriation form submission? (It below the requirements of a rly average basis and tested at tho 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29) and to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the manufacture operating at the manufacture.	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I conducted Service and Indiana Service an	— Yes Rule Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obto 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate man dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submiss F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Noticide (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Me tter emissions test with results of coordinates of the conducted of the conducted of the source of the conducted with the source serions test of the conducted of the source of the conducted	ance, was an annual visible of ance, was an annual visible of ance were the remaining appropriation form submission? (It is below the requirements of a rly average basis and tested at tho 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-29) and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to operating at the manufacture operating at the manufacture.	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 or to each anniversary date? (I plicable standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 100 parts per million by according to EPA Method ements of 0.080 grains per ing to EPA Method 5 cturers recommended	— Yes Rule ⊠Yes — Yes	 No No No No No No No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec	cording o	f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes	☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	⊠Yes	☐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	⊠Yes	☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	☐ No
6) Adjustments		□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices		□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		_
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time.	ne.	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	<u> </u>	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		110
process begins in the primary chamber?		□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<u> </u>	110
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	∑ Ves	□ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	<u> </u>	110
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	<i>!</i> :	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
thick?	⊠Yes	□ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	∐ i es	∐ No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□Yes	⊠ No
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?		=
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	⊠Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes	∐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		□ NT.
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURI A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or 			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
local program office?	□Yes	□No	
was required, have all operators been retrained to o	□Yes	□No	
3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?		□Yes □Yes	□No □No
Greg Landry	10/27/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	10/15/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspec	tion	

COMMENTS: Annual VE testing was scheduled during this inspection. Due to mechanical problems with the incinerator, the minimum 1600 F temperature in the secondary chamber could not be achieved. The testing was cancelled and the incinerator shutdown until a manufacturer's technician could arrive the next day. The primary chamber burners were never turned on and the plastic bags containing dead animals did not show any signs of melting before the shutdown. The VE testing was rescheduled for November 10. 2008.

The handwritten notation of date, pounds, and initials of the operator on the circle charts was much improved.