

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INST	I, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)				
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FA	CILITY: Rush Concrete, Inc.	DISTRICT:					
DB	A/Site Name: Largo Facility		Southwest				
AD	DDRESS: 13650 66th Street No.	th CONTACT PHONE:		E:			
	Largo, FL		President				
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO: Expiration Date: 12/1/201		12/1/2016			
	7775153 001	7775153-003-AG	Renewal Date: 10	/31/2016			
7773133 001		7775155 005 110	Test Date:	12/1/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Relocatable Concrete Batch Plant: One 300 barrel cement silo, with emissions controlled by a Belgrade Steel Tank Company "Belle Style Dust House" The control device has 150 square feet on bag area, and the bags are shaken for cleaning							
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
1	/25/12	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compl	liance; Significant?	Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:					
1.	Permit File Review			∑Yes			
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments:						
	I met with Jim Reed, dispatcher, who provided the logs and answered questions.						
3.							
	Comments:						
4.	Is the facility contact still Mark Roesch?						
5.	5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
	DART II. 1	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.	414 F A C				
		x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would		ce)			
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No							
2.	2. \(\subseteq \textbf{Existing Facilities} - \text{(permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)} \) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{No} \)						
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?						
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A. C.]	⊠ Yes □ No			
5.		the department as soon as practical, but no late					
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes 🔲 No						
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						

### RATE Are missions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If imswer to this question is "Fes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.) Yes No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test. was the batching grate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? (62-296.44/8/16), F.A.C.] Yes No a) Was the batching operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.44/8/46], F.A.C.] Yes No a) The visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.44/8/46], F.A.C.] Yes No a) The visible emissions tests (s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emissions tests (s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emissions tests (s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes No **PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. Yes No **PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. Yes No **In shis facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: 0 Yes No **In shis facility: 1) Stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete	PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Fes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" them skip to question operation in operation during the visible emissions test? 802-296.4143(c), F.A.C. Yes No a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? 802-296.4143(c), F.A.C. Yes No b) During the visible emissions test. Was the batching rate and duration? (62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C. Yes No b) During the visible emissions test with the which per representative of the normal batching rate and duration? (62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C. Yes No means the silo dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, or the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? (62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C. Yes No a) The visible emission test of conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of the finishest visit minute average. PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKE FIPIS REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (cheek appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) I. Is this facility: 1) a Sationary: 20 relocatable concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.) 2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.) 2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plant should be planted to the fuel usages Yes No 1) 1s the total combined amula facility-viside fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages Yes No 1) 1st plants (Please check only one box.) 3. Journal of the concrete batching plant used to thi						
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions K.a.) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9, and 8.b below. If answer is "No" then skip to question in operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]						
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?	9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching					
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of	**Facility does not have a weigh hopper					
Is this facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nometallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.) 2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] Yes No No No No No No No N	a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.					
I. Is this facility: 1) a \ a stationary; 2) a \ relocatable; or does it have: 3, both, \ stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nometallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \ only one box.) 2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] \ Yes \ No \ b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] \ usage equals \ gallons \ gallons \ 2) 23,000 gallons of diesel fuel \ usage equals \ gallons \ gallons \ 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas \ usage equals \ gallons \ 3) 44 million gallons of propane \ usage equals \ gallons \ 3) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite \ usage equals \ % of all fuels \ 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(6)4.d., F.A.C.] \ Yes \ No \ No \ Relocation Notification \ (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) \ 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) \ a) Did the owner or operator rotify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? \ b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department to later than five (5) business days following a relocation? \ Yes \ No \ Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility R	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS = Rule 62-210 310(5)(b) F A C					
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] \ Ves \ No b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] \ usage equals \ gallons \ gallons of diesel fuel \ usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] \ usage equals \ gallons \ gallons \ gallons of diesel fuel \ usage equals \ gallons \ gallons \ gallons \ 3.3 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas \ usage equals \ gallons \ gallons \ 3.3 44 million gallons of propane \ usage equals \ gallons \ 3.5 or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite \ usage equals \ gallons \ % of all fuels \ 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \ Yes \ No \ Relocation Notification \ (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1.a) thru 1.b) below) \ Yes \ No \ a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?————————————————————————————————————						
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	Yes No				
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) ————————————————————————————————————	account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?	Yes 🗌 No				
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation? ————————————————————————————————————	1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below) a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))	Yes No				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control	2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at	Yes 🗌 No				
1. Does the owner operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control						
	1. Does the owner operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
unconfined emissions	unconfined emissions $oxtime$ Yes $oxtime$ No					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	 a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles? \(\Sigma\) Yes \(\Display\) No					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \boxtimes Yes \square No					
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions					
(check \(\sigma\) appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes:					
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative					
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions					
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility \square Yes \boxtimes No					
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No					
2. If yes, that the facility provide written holification within 50 days of the change? [02-210.510(2)(a), F.A.C.] [1es [No					
<u>Permit Effective Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]					
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]					
a) installation of any new process equipment? \[Yes \in No					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form?					
d) Change in ownership					
If any of the answers to $1a - 1d$ is <u>Yes</u> , a new registration form and appropriate fee should					
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No					
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]					
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or					
limitation of the air general permit? 🗌 Yes 🖂 No					
If the answer is Yes , proceed to a) and b).					
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?					
b) Did the notification include:					
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?					
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to					
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{No} \)					
PART VI: Comments					
O&M Plan					
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M					
plan shall include, but is not limited to:					
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;					
(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;					
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the					
permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate at a minimum.					
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:a. When maintenance and observations were performed;					
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and					
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.					
d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.					
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]					
Reviewed records for the months ofJuly 2011 – January 2012					
Commonts					
Comments:					

The maintenance logs were complete. There were no instances of non-compliance. The grounds were fairly clean with little loose debris/gravel present. The grounds were wet and the stock piles were maintained at a reasonable height.				
Exit Interview: I informed Jim Reed the facility appears to	be in compliance at this time.			
Shannon Ransom				
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection			
	_~1/2013			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			

 $H: \label{linear} H: \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} A QI \label{linear} A QI \label{linear} QI \label{linear} A \$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06