

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
FACILITY: Rush Concrete, Inc. DISTRICT:			
		Southwest	
ADDRESS: 13650 66th Street North		CONTACT PHONE:	
Largo, FL		727-530-0691	
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO: Expiration Date: 1/18/12		
7775153 001	7775153-002-AG	Renewal Date: 12/19/11 Test Date: 12/30/00	
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Relocatable Concrete Batch Plant: One 300 barrel cement silo, with emissions controlled by a Belgrade Steel Tank Company "Belle Style Dust House" The control device has 150 square feet on bag area, and the bags are shaken for cleaning			
INSPECTION DATE: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)			
02/04/09	In Compliance; Minor Non-Comp	liance; Significant Non-Compliance	
1. Permit File Review	PART I: General Review:	Yes No	
Permit File Review 2. Introduction and Entry		$\square Ies \square No$	
<i>Comments: I was met by David Oldenburg and given a tour of the facility and given access to the facility records.</i>			
3. Js the Authorized Representative still Robert Crabb? □Yes ⊠ No			
Comments: See Comment # 1			
4. <i>Is</i> the facility contact still <u>Robert Crabb</u> ? □Yes ⊠No <i>Comments:</i> See Comment # 1			
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] See Comment # 1			
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No			
2.			
<i>Test Reports</i> 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?			
4. Was the department notified at least 15			
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)			
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62	-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] X Yes 🔲 No	
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	No	
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9	No	
 See comment # 2 a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.] Yes b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.] Yes 	No No	
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	No	
 10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according? Yes a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes 	No No	
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)		
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary; 2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)		
 For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] Yes [b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.] Yes [1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals gallons 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least five (5) years? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to I. a) thru 1.b) below)		
 at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? Yes b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at 	No No	
<i>Least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes</i>	No	
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)		
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control		
unconfined emissions	No	
 Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	No	

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to $\nabla X = \nabla X$			
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of ∇			
particulate matter from stock piles? \Box No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes:			
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative			
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions			
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 🛛 Yes 🔲 No			
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] 🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
See comment #1			
Permit <u>Effective</u> <u>Period</u> – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]			
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes 🗌 No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership			
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]			
a) installation of any new process equipment? \Box Yes \boxtimes No			
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
See comment # 3			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most			
recent notification form? Yes 🖂 No			
d) Change in ownership Yes 🛛 No			
If the any of the answers to $1a) - 1d$ is <u>Yes</u> to any, a new registration form and appropriate fee should			
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change			
<u>Noncompliance Notice:</u> - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]			
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? Yes X No			
If the answer is <u>Yes</u> , proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? 			
b) Did the notification include:			
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? Ves D No			
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to			
continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes No			
PART VI: Comments			

<u>O&M Plan</u>

The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:

- (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;
- (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;
- (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;
- (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;
- (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:
 - a. When maintenance and observations were performed;
 - b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and
 - c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.
 - d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.

[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]

Reviewed records for the months of _____01/07-01/09_

Comments: #1 Robert Crabb is still a partner in the company but is no longer in daily contact. Mark Roesch is now the Authorized Representative and Facility contact. Mr. Roesch faxed a letter (attached, received on 02/11/09) making these changes. This notification exceeds the 30 day rule by such a short time frame that enforcement discretion will be exercised (as per wrm) and no further enforcement steps are required.

Comment #2 A tarp is placed over the discharge point and a wet/dry vacuum cleaner hose is then inserted under the tarp to mitigate the emissions from the truck loading process.

Comment # 3 The equipment was moved (sometime between 06/04/08 and 08/29/08) approx. 100 feet to help eliminate citizen complaints.

Exit Interview: Mr. Roesch was notified that the changing of the Authorized Rep. and facility contact with out notifying DEM was a matter of non-compliance. Mr. Roesch will notify us in writing of this change asap (fax received on 02/1/109). As only a short time has elapsed since the change in contacts and Mr. Roesch is in process of notifying us, enforcement discretion will be exercised in this manner (as per wrm). No enforcement action is required.

Chris Brodeur

Inspector's Name

02/04/09

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

02/10 Approximate Date of Next Inspection

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\7775153 001 67003.doc