

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	LAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0830051 DATE: <u>8/29/2013</u> ARRIVE	: DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: SEILERS CONCRETE				
FACILITY LOCATION: 13384 NE 1ST STREET RD				
SILVER SPRINGS 34488				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES UNDER				
Email: CONTACT NAME: JAMES UNDERWOOD	Mobile: PHONE: (352)625-1426			
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/10/2011 / 4/10/2016 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile:			
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): $\underline{n/a}$				
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JAMES UNDERWOOD? If no, who is?:	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 3. Is the facility contact still JAMES UNDERWOOD? If no, who is?:				
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in adv 				

Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check \square only one box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation? N	N/A 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test:	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the tf. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report sta	ate
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last	VE test? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹 only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit	t? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute ave	rerage.
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of	f the silo conducted at a rate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A - s	silo not loaded during inspection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust colle	ector? Yes No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal b	
duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? _	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust colle	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batche	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit V_{12} where V_{22} is the visit of V_{22} and V_{22} and V_{22} is the visit of V_{22} and V_{2	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute av	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes No
d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	

Emissions Unit Section <u>Subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
 Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, a Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include on 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals whe control emissions?	control unconfined e or more of the following: Yes No n necessary to Yes No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airly particulate matter?	orne Yes No entrainment of Yes No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes 🗌 No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🗍 Yes 🗍 No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air ger permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal proposition275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal proposition	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ on for each qu	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	□ No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	_	No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow)	(check 🗹 box for each <i>bing question 2.)</i>	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.90] 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation)(6)]	□ No □ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation p and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
 a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usa If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was a least of a table a purplicit. 		∐ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	•

A	dministrative Changes:	
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
	a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes	🗌 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes	🗌 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? [] Yes	🗌 No
	d. A change in ownership? Yes	No No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	
	30 days prior to the change? Yes	🗌 No

Brad Whidden

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility is now closed. All emissison units have been removed.