

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)								
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	TNO:					
ΑI	RS ID#: 0710207 DATE: <u>7/13/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:00 a.m</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 a.m.</u>					
FACILITY NAME: NEW HORIZON PET SERVICES OF SW FL								
FACILITY LOCATION: 1941 PARK MEADOW DR #8								
	FORT MYERS 33	3902						
CC	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RANDELL HUBBARD PHONE: (239)936-1732 Email: Mobile: (863)227-2717 CONTACT NAME: RANDELL HUBBARD PHONE: (239)936-1732							
	Email: Mobile: (863)227-2717 VTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/28/2009 / 3/28/2014 (effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section								
PA	RT I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check only one box)						
	☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-CO	OMPLIANCE SIGNIF	ICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PA	RT II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	<u> </u>	(check ☑ only one					
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s):		box for each question)					
	Brief Notes:							
	Is the Authorized Representative still RANDELL I If no, who is?:	HUBBARD?	⊠ Yes □No					
	If different, did the facility provide an administrati Is the facility contact still RANDELL HUBBARD If no, who is?:							
	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea							

Emissions Unit Section 3 – Animal Crematory-primary/2ndary chamber, NG fired

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			only one question)		
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No		
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	☐ Yes	□No		
3. 4.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{400}$ \boxtimes lbs for batch unit \square lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	□No		
5.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No □No		
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 7/28/10	☐ Yes	□No		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No		
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check box for each of	only one question)		
	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? Operating capacity during test? 400 lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	⊠ Yes	□No		
b. c.	Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No □No		
e.	The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	□No		
2	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□ Na		
a. b.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No □No □No		
d. e.	Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	□No		
[f.]	Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	Yes in any one-hour)	∐No		
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?					
	If yes, what reason?				

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑	(check ✓ only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?		✓No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? ————————————————————————————————————		□No	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		□No	
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No	
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Yes	□No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	□No ⊠No	
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes ity	□No	
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		□No	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber?	nation	⊠No ⊠No	
2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————	nation	□No	
process regins in the primary enumber.	(check ✓	only one	
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	box for each	-	
Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate care any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No	
1			

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE			(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufact Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating proceshutdown and malfunction?	ring each operating shift?	- Yes - Yes - Yes	□No □No □No □No			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹 box for eac				
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been	on of the facility or any emissions un ministrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	□No □No □No □No □No □No □No			
Sherrill Culliver	7/13/11					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection				

COMMENTS: Two minor violations. The first violations is the lack of a startup/ shutdown and malfunction plan. The facility needs a startup/ shutdown and malfunction plan.