

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	/ERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0710207 DA	TE: <u>07/31/2009</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:15 A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>11:05</u>	<u>A.M.</u>	
FACILITY NAME: NE	EW HORIZON PET SERVICES	OF SW FL			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1941 PARK MEADOW	/ DR #8			
	FORT MYERS 33902	2			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: RAI	NDELL HUBBARD PHO	NE: (239)936-1732		
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	NE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 3/28/2009 / 3/28/2014 (effective date) (end date)	4			
IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COM		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE	E	
PART II. TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MENTS _ Rule 62-296 401	FAC		
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriate	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRED te box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401,	F.A.C.		
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obtained Was a visible emissible 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA N	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obe Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected?	s site visit according to EPA M	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obeside Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demon days prior to the Angles 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA Merconding to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapterissions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (1)	⊠Yes	_
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obeside Was a visible emision of the Action of the A	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emon, and within 60 days prior to the companient of the c	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (I cable standards testing the 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 0 parts per million by	 ⊠Yes Rule	□ No
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the factor of	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emon, and within 60 days prior to the remaining application form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested action 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297,	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter nissions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (I cable standards testing tle 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 0 parts per million by cording to EPA Method F.A.C.)?	— ⊠Yes Rule ⊠Yes	□ No
(check ☑ appropriated) 1. Were there any observed with the properties of the prope	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emon, and within 60 days prior to ence were the remaining application form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested action of the submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 days prior to 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (Incomplete to each anniversary date) cable standards testing alle 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) O parts per million by cording to EPA Method f.A.C.)? ents of 0.080 grains per ag to EPA Method 5	YesXesYesYesYesYesYesYes	
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any obt 2. Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demon days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Monor volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate man dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co 7. Was the Department	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible emon, and within 60 days prior to the maining application form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 days average basis and tested action form submission of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 10 days of a test report for an introduced to 10 days of the last formal	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (I cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 0 parts per million by cording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? ents of 0.080 grains per g to EPA Method 5 arers recommended dentical crematory unit? compliance test?	— Yes Yes	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec	ording of	f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes	☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	☐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks		□ No
6) Adjustments		□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	103	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	1 cs	110
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	Птсз	110
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
 a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tim @ 1800° F?	e Mx/	□ Na
	⊠Yes	∐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	⊠ 1	□ N.
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	☐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	<u></u>	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	⊠Yes	☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	ř	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	\boxtimes Yes	∐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
thick?	⊠Yes	☐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	Yes	No No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration	n	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes □Yes □Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
		□Yes □Yes	□No □No
		□Yes □Yes	□No □No
ROBERT J. STEWART	07/31/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Robert J. Stewart			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspect	ion	

COMMENTS: VE test was also performed with 425 lbs of animal remains cremated in the unit on site. No problems noted.