

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0251112 DATE: <u>10/30/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:54 AM</u> DEPART: <u>1:06 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: AMARALTO PLANT #1 & BLOCK PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 12700 NW 15TH ST					
MIAMI 33182-1400					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ANGEL LLIZO PHONE: (305)47	77-0818				
Email: info@amaraltoconcrete.com  CONTACT NAME: AMADO LLIZO  PHONE: (305)47					
Email: amadoheli@msn.com  ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/15/2012 / 3/15/2017  (effective date) (end date)  Mobile: (786)41	2-1341				
Facility Section					
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check only one box)	ON TO LANCE				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-CO	OMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>ANGEL LLIZO</u>	box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ANGEL LLIZO?	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still AMADO LLIZO?					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

## Emissions Unit Section 1 -CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•	
Date of last inspection: 12/12/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ፭ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	•	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	ined		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	
particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		☐ No☐ No☐ No	

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	Date of last inspection: 12/12/2012  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
<b>D</b> 4	DE H. EVELD ORGEDY ATIONG. B. L. (2.20(.414/2). E. A. C.		
Un	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and noveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each of	only one question)
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		☐ No
	control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-Blockplant,silo(cement)w/silo vent dc,600 bbl subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection:  Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes  No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncore emissions by:</li> <li>Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the stock piles and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ol>	he following:
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		<u> &lt; 1.00</u> ?	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	□ No
_				
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
,	devices?  Does the owner or operator:	- 🔲	Yes	⊠ No
۷.	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3.				
	permit and Department rates.		105	

RE	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one						
	Is the facility: stationary \( \); relocatable \( \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \) box for each question) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )						
(1	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemen soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	low. )	- Yes	☐ No			
	<ul><li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busine</li><li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation</li></ul>	ss day prior to changing location?		☐ No			
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N	business days following a relocation?	- Yes	☐ No			
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at lea	ast five business days prior to relocation?	Yes	☐ No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:							
	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routing If YES, what was the purpose?		e)?  Yes	☐ No			
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No			
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in durati	ion?	Yes	☐ No			
CH	HANGES		(check <b>b</b> ox for each				
	Iministrative Changes:	har of the facility or outhorized represents		question)			
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel						
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mino			⊠ No			
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 aw or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	U days of the change?	U Yes	∐ No			
	Since the last registration form submittal has there been						
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?						
				☐ No			
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace	ement?	Yes	⊠ No			
		ement?is substantially different?		_			
	<ul><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace</li><li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that it</li></ul>	ement?is substantially different? gis substantially different?		⊠ No ⊠ No			
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that it d. A change in ownership?	ement?is substantially different? gis substantially different?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No			
4.	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that it d. A change in ownership?	ement?is substantially different? gis substantially different?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No			
4.	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that it d. A change in ownership?	ement?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No			
4.	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that it d. A change in ownership?	gistration form and the appropriate fee sub	Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No			

**COMMENTS:** WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE CONCRETE BATCH PLANT (TWO (2) POINTS AND THE NEW CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT (TWO (2) POINTS). I WITNESSED THE VE TEST ON THE CONCRETE BATCH PLANT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT WAS TESTED LATER.