

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0251112 DATE: <u>12/12/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:21 AM</u> DEPART:	10:18 AM				
FACILITY NAME: AMARALTO PLANT #1 & BLOCK PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 12700 NW 15TH ST					
MIAMI 33182-1400					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ANGEL LLIZO Email: info@amaraltoconcrete.com CONTACT NAME: AMADO LLIZO Email: amadoheli@msn.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/15/2012 / 3/15/2017 PHONE: (305)477-08 Mobile: (786)412-134	18				
(effective date) (end date)					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>ANGEL LLIZO</u>	(check only one box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ANGEL LLIZO?	⊠ Yes □No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still AMADO LLIZO?	Yes				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 – CCD 1 lant-sno (tement) w/snotop bagnouse subject to Reasonable 1 recautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each o	only one question)	
Date of last inspection: 12/9/2009 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	,	only one	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each o	question)	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	ined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No	
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	N 11		
particulate matter?		∐ No	
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_	_	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		□ No □ No	
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Lies		

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 12/9/2009 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- 🔲 Yes	□ No ☑ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes	□ No□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	_	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- X Yes - X Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		·_ < 1.00?	,
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?			□ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	<u>-</u>		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- 	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?			□ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\); relocatable \(\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the follows</i>)	box for each ing question 2.	,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		☐ No
 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was 	ge)? 🗌 Yes	□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?		∐ No □ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
 Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	units or Yes	⊠ No □ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?		NoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change?	ıbmitted Yes	☐ No
FRANK DELGADO 12/12/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection		
12/2013		
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Is	nspection	

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON PERFORMED TWO VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS. THE SILO'S DUST COLLECTOR AND WEIGH HOPPER DUST COLLECTOR WERE TESTED. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. THE CONCRETE BLOCK PLANT IS UNDER CONSTRUCTION.