

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
R	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0251112 DATE	E: <u>09/18/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:55A.M.</u>	DEPART: <u>9:25A.M></u>
FACILITY NAME: AMA	RALTO PLANT NO. 1		
FACILITY LOCATION:	12700 NW 15 Street		
	MIAMI 33182-1400		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE: ANO	GEL LLIZO PHONE:	(305)477-0818
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOR	0: 1/6/2007 / 1/5/2012 (effective date) (end date)		
	(**************************************		
PART I: INSPECTION C	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck 🗹 only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	E MINOR Non-COME	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/REC (check ☑ appropriate l		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	C.
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emission	ons tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA Met	hod 9 (Ref.: Chapter
		s), and other enclosed storage and	\(\sum Yes \subseteq No\) I conveying equipment
controlled to the exte	ent necessary to limit visible e		\(\sum Yes \subseteq No
at a rate that is repre	esentative of the normal silo lo	ading rate, or at least at the minis	mum 25 tons per hour rate,
		peration controlled by the silo due	st collector? (If answer
to this question is "Y	Yes", then continue on to ques	tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ	ver is "No" then
a) Was the batching	d continue on to question 5.) g operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?	
		ning rate representative of the no	rmal batching rate andYes \sum No
5. If emissions from the	e weigh hopper (batcher) oper	ration are controlled by a dust co	llector, which is separate
		ons tests of the weigh hopper (bat tative of the normal batching rate	e and duration? Yes No

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	∐Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form]Yes
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	∐Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
]
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i> , <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	Yes ⊠ No Yes ⊠ No Yes □ No

HIMITING OF ENAITING RECORDINEED IN THE RECORDINE IN THE RECORDING IN THE	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
(check is appropriate box(cs))						
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take r	easonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:	r					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard	ls, which shall include one or more of the fol	lowing:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock						
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup						
emissions?	·	⊠Yes □ No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa	ved areas under control of the owner/operato	r to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to rec						
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind by						
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en	nissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No				
DARTHA CRECIAL COMPUTIONS AND PROCEDURES. D.	. (2 210 200/A)/I) / T / C	1				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Ru	le 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
Since the last inspection has there been						
1. Since the last hispection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?						
b) alterations to existing process againment without replace	coment?	☐Yes ⊠ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace	cement?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacec) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe	nt than that noted on the most	□Yes ⊠ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different recent notification form? 	nt than that noted on the most	□Yes ⊠ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially difference recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner. 	nt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete	□Yes ⊠ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F 	nt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially difference recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner. 	nt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F 	nt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace. c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, Flocal program office?	rt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F 	nt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, Flocal program office?	er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
 b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace. c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, Flocal program office?	rt than that noted on the most er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, Flocal program office?	or submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or 09/18/08 Date of Inspection	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, Flocal program office?	er submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differe recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, Flocal program office?	or submit a new and complete FAC) to the appropriate DEP or 09/18/08 Date of Inspection	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No				

COMMENTS: ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2008 FRANK DELGADO ACCOMPANIED ME TO THIS FACILITY TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLIANCE INSPECTION AND OBSERVE THE VE TEST. ON SITE I MET ARMANDO LLIZO, THE PLANT MANAGER, AND ANGEL LLIZO, THE OWNER. RYAN PETTERSON CONDUCTED THE HOPPER VE TEST AT 9:18 A.M. FOR 6 MINUTES AND THE SILO VE TEST AT 8:55 A.M FOR 30 MINUTES. DURING THE VE TEST, THE CEMENT TRUCK LOADED 27.31 TONS OF CEMENT AT 10 PSI. THE FACILTY PRCTICES GOOD HOUSEKEEPING AND WAS IN COMPLIANCE.