	NUMBRIA	, PROTECTIO	N	2
Bran	ALL .	VA	acter .	
	LOR	DA		1
1		200		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT	T/DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 7775149 DATE: <u>6/22/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:0</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-CARD SOUND PORTABLE READY-MIXFACILITY LOCATION:15900 SW 408TH ST	
FLORIDA CITY 33034 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER Email: CONTACT NAME: EDWARD WILLIAMS Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/24/2009 / 12/24/2014 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (305)247-3011 Mobile:

Facility Section

 PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)

 ☑ IN COMPLIANCE
 ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE
 ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING			only one
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>JEFFREY PORTER</u>	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? If no, who is?:	Yes Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still EDWARD WILLIAMS?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?		□No □No

Emissions Unit Section

|--|

3 – CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions					
	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
	Date of last inspection: 2/11/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
D	A DT IL FIELD OBSERVATIONS $D_{\rm rel}$ (2.20($414(2)$ E.A.C.		·		
r P	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹			
	<u>nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for each	question)		
<u>Co</u>	<u>onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		ļ		
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned			
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following:			
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No		
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne				
	particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No		
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:				
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	🗌 Yes	No No		

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section

5 – CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp.#1w/cartridge dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions					
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
 Date of last inspection: <u>2/11/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.					
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)			
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncont emissions by: 	ined				
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th					
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 		∐ No ∏ No			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No			
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗍 Yes	D No No			

Emissions Unit Section

6 - CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp.#2w/cartridge dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
 PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u> 1. Date of last inspection: <u>2/11/2010</u> 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	· 🗍 Yes	No No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin	box for each			
emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the				
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes Yes	□ No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🛛 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	1	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	ז 🔀	No
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	ז 🔀	лo
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? yes yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	ז ז ז	No No No No No
	$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr}}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr}} + \frac{\text{gal gasoline/yr}}{23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes	_ I	No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	_		_
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	∐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary]; relocatable]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable] concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	(check ☑ o box for each o g question 2.)	-
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900() 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		No No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

<u>CHANGES</u>	(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? -	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🗌 No
4. If the answer to any question $3a - d$ is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	ubmitted	
30 days prior to the change?	_	No No
		_

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/22/2011

Date of Inspection

6/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED THREE (3) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON ALL THREE EMISSIONS POINTS. THE TESTS STARTED AT 11:42 AM. THE SILOS WERE LOADED AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

REVIEWED By Ray Gordon at 10:40 am, Aug 14, 2012