NUMERICAL PROTECTION
Some Carte
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO							
AIRS ID#: 7775149 DAT		ARRIVE: <u>11:50 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:20 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: CEM	FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-CARD SOUND PORTABLE READY-MIX								
FACILITY LOCATION:	15900 SW 408TH ST								
	FLORIDA CITY 33034	4							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	<b>REPRESENTATIVE:</b> JEFF	REY PORTER PHON	E: (561)820-8415						
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	Е:						
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>D:</b> 10/12/2008 / 10/12/201 (effective date) (end date)	13							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
PART II: <u>TESTING/REC</u> (check ☑ appropriate	CORDKEEPING REQUIREM	<u>IENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	.A.C.						
<ul> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>2. Are emissions from controlled to the ex</li> <li>3. During visible emiss at a rate that is reprunless such rate is u</li> <li>4. Are emissions from to this question is "skip 4.a) and 4.b) at a) Was the batchin b) During the visib duration?</li></ul>	a silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) tent necessary to limit visible er ssions tests of the silo dust collec- esentative of the normal silo loa inachievable in practice?	), and other enclosed storage a nissions to 5 percent opacity? ctor exhaust points was the load ding rate, or at least at the min- eration controlled by the silo d tons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test?							

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i> )	
<ul> <li>2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:</li> <li>a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?  Yes No</li> <li>b) material processed on a monthly basis?  Yes No</li> <li>c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?  Yes No</li> </ul>	

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Xes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	- Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/28/2008

Date of Inspection

10/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** RYAN PETERSON FROM ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CONDUCTED TWO (2) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTS ON THE CEMENT/ FLYASH SPLIT SILO' DUST COLLECTORS. BOTH SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT 8 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TESTS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES DURING THE TESTS.