WHENTIAL PROTECTION
Some Cane
FLORIDA

**CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV				
AIRS ID#: 0951251 DATE: <u>6/17/09</u> FACILITY NAME: UNLIMITED MARBLE FACILITY LOCATION: 4268 Seaboard Rd.	ARRIVE: <u>08:50</u>	DEPART: <u>09:15</u>			
FACILITY LOCATION:       4268 Seaboard Rd.         ORLANDO       32808-3842         OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       Winston Pherai         PHONE:       (407)658-2509					
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		NE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/9/2006 / 9/9/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         □ IN COMPLIANCE       □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDK</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>1. Does the facility operate any emissions units off which are exempt from permitting pursuant to th have been exempted from permitting under Rule</li> <li>2. Does the facility comply with the objectionable not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge odor?</li></ul>	her than the cast polymer operat he criteria of paragraph 62-210. e 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-22) odor prohibition of subsection of air pollutants which cause or ng resin and gel-coat used exceed oter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.c., F.A.C n records to document the quant 3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)	tions and emissions units 300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or 10.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.)  Yes  No 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and contribute to an objectionable Yes  No ed 284,000 pounds (142 tons) .) Yes  No ity of resin and gel-coat Yes  No these records for a period Yes  No these records for a period Yes  No easonably Available Control ? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.b.,			

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training empli involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by:		
	a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air? Xes I No	
	b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? $X = 100$	
	c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application? $X$ Yes $\Box$ No	
	d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage? Xrss Xrss	
	e) managing cleanup solvents? Xer	
2.	Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the	
	general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the	
	adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources,	
	water quality, or air quality? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
3.	Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? Xes 🗌 No	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?</li></ul></li></ol>	□Yes ⊠No □Yes ⊠No

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/17/2009

Date of Inspection

~6/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The inspector Mr. Assefa Hailemariam met with Mr. Winston Pherai, the owner at the facility, for Unlimited Marble Company on June 17, 2009. Mr. Pherai provided the inspector with a walk-through of the facility. The facility is operating the resin and gel coat production for marble works industry. I observed that there were two booths for grinding and the gel coat spraying area. Booths equipped with particulate Filters and dust collector. Production or the mixing area was not operating, at 100 percent capacity during the inspection. No leaks or spills were observed during our walk through of the facility and all containers were covered. Moderate odors were present in the mixing area. According Mr. Pherai odors come from Styrene and acetone products. Mr. Pherai provided the data logs from 2008 to the present on a hard copy. The records shows the highest consecutive 12-month rolling combined Resin and gel coat usages totaled at 10.603 TPY. This is below the 142 TPY limit of the permit. The facility appears to be in good operating condition. No unconfined PM emission observed and moderate odor was detected in the mixing area only.