

## **CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:                   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
| AIRS ID#: 0951251 DATE: 7/02/2008 ARRIVE: 10:00 AM DEPART: 11:00 AM  |  |  |
| FACILITY NAME: UNLIMITED MARBLE  |  |  |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 4268 Seaboard Rd.   |  |  |
| ORLANDO 32808-3842   |  |  |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Winston Pherai PHONE: (407)290-9385   |  |  |
| CONTACT NAME: Winston Pherai PHONE:  |  |  |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/9/2006 / 9/9/2011 (effective date) (end date)  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)  |  |  |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PART II: <u>CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  |  |  |
| 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the cast polymer operations and emissions units            |  |  |
| which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or             |  |  |
| have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.)                    |  |  |
| 2. Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and            |  |  |
| not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? |  |  |
| 3. Does the combined quantity of styrene containing resin and gel-coat used exceed 284,000 pounds (142 tons)           |  |  |
| in any consecutive twelve month period? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.c., F.A.C.)   Yes  No                               |  |  |
| 4. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the quantity of resin and gel-coat             |  |  |
| used on a monthly basis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)  |  |  |
| of at least five years? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)   |  |  |
| 6. Is this cast polymer operation subject to a volatile organic compound (VOC) Reasonably Available Control            |  |  |
| Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.b.,                |  |  |
| F.A.C.)  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

| PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| (check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))   |  |  |
| involved in product fabrication on methods of red a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces t b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid exce d) implementing inventory control practices to pr e) managing cleanup solvents? 2. Does the owner or operator make every reasonabl general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse | o the air?   |  |
|  | ivironment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources, |  |
| 3. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted   |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been</li> </ol>   |  |  |
| a) installation of any new process equipment?  |  |  |
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  |  |  |
| recent notification form?  |  |  |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, dinotification form and appropriate fee (Rule clocal program office?  |  |  |
| Bill Rhodes & Ilka Bundy   | 07/02/2008   |  |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print)  | Date of Inspection                                       |  |
|  | 07/02/2009   |  |
| Inspector's Signature  | Approximate Date of Next Inspection                      |  |

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes & Ilka Bundy met with Mr. Winston Pherai, the owner at the facility, on 7/02/2008, and conducted a walkthrough of the facility, and reviewed the resin & gelcoat records for the period of May 2007 to April 2008. Records are kept onsite, and appeared complete and up-to-date. Resin and gelcoat usages combined totaled 35.41 TPY. This usage is below the 142 TPY limit of the permit. The grinding booth and the gelcoat spraying booth were observed. The filters are changed on an as-needed basis and sufficient stock appeared to be readily available on-site. The ingredients mixing machine (Batchmaster) had been installed last year and the styrene in the resin usage appeared to have decreased from 25% to 20%. The containers were properly covered & labeled. 55-gallon drums of Acetone & Parts Washer fluid (MSDS sheet to be faxed to OCEPD) were observed. All were covered and properly labeled. Marble dust containers were also noted and according to Mr. Pherai, are now coming from Texas, rather than from Georgia. The waste continues to be picked up by Environmental Compliance Co., or Permafix. The facility appears to be in good operating condition. No PM or objectionable odors were noted. OCEPD personnel left the facility at approximately 11:00 AM.