T
1

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 1310256 DATE: 6/12/09 ARRIVE: 1:48 PM DEPART: 2:51 PM FACILITY NAME: DEFUNIAK SPRINGS PAVER PLANT FACILITY LOCATION: 28 Gene Hurley Rd 28 Gene Hurley Rd					
DEFUNIAK SPRINGS 32435-4739 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ALLEN FAULK PHONE: (334)673-8233 CONTACT NAME: Rusty Craig PHONE: (850)892-9649 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/19/2006 / 2/19/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check					

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? ⊠Yes □ No			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)			
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ⊠Yes □ No			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 ap	propriate box(es))
-------------	--------------------

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i> .)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
	 b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year? c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less? 	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \square Yes \square No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xyes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- C) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ C) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete

 j • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • · · · j • • • •		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Jennifer Waltrip

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

 γ

Inspector's Signature

June 12, 2009

Date of Inspection

June 2010

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on June 12, 2009 at the Ready Mix USA DeFuniak Springs Paver Plant located in Walton County (known by facility personnel as Block USA Hard Scapes facility). Mr. Rusty Craig was present to assist during the inspection.

The facility was in operation at the time of the inpsection and no emissions were apparent. Three storage silos are located on site with a separate baghouse for each. There is also a dust collector to filter air from internal plant operations and a second dust collector to filter air from the tumbler area outside the plant. Filter Kleen Environmental Services conducts weekly visual inspections of the baghouses and visits the facility on a quarterly basis to inspect and maintain the bag houses. The last visit was on April 28, 2009.

Pensacola P.O.C., Inc., conducted the annual visible emissions tests for the baghouses on March 5, 2009. No emissions were observed from any of the baghouses during the 30-minute tests.

Fugitive emissions from the yard are controlled by a sweeper. During the inspection the yard was very clean and no fuguitive emissions were noted.

All batching occurs inside the facility. The interior was clean with no excessive dust present.

Receipts were available detailing the amount of materials purchased on a monthly basis.