

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0951250 DAT	E: <u>11 Feb 2014</u>	A	ARRIVE: <u>0915</u>		DEPART: <u>1045</u>		
FACILITY NAME: EAS'	Γ ORLANDO READY	MIX					
FACILITY LOCATION:	7308 NARCOOS	SEE RD					
	ORLANDO 328	322-5536					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: sanvillel@vmcr CONTACT NAME: JER Email: combsj@vmcm ENTITLEMENT PERIO	mail.com REMY COMBS ail.com	3/2018	ANVILLE	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(239)280-9156 (407)253-3045 (407)383-3435		
PART I: INSPECTION O		US (check	_		Non-COMPLIANCE	3	
PART II: ONSITE INTRO  1. Name(s) of facility representations are supported by the support of th							only one luestion)
2. Is the Authorized Repres	sentative still LORI SAN	VILLE? -			🖂 Y	'es	□No
If different, did the facil  3. Is the facility contact still If no, who is?: Nelson	II JEREMY COMBS?					es es	□No ⊠No
4. Will facility be conducti If yes, was the complian	ng VE test(s) during tod					es es	□No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silos#1,&#2(cement)slag&flyashsilow/grd mtdcentrDC subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment  (check ☑ only on box for each question box for each question of enclosed storage and conveying equipment  1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	1. I 2. I 3 4 6 6 7 1 1	Date of last inspection: 7 Jan 2013 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	(check ☑ box for each of box for each of check ☑ Yes ☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No No No
enclosed storage and conveying equipment    box for each question   box for each question		,		
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	PAI			only one question)
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?			Yes	☐ No
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?  Yes  No  N/A – silo not loaded during inspection.  e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?  Yes  No   f. What was the silo loading rate? 39.36 tons/hour  g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?  Yes  No   If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to h.  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?  Yes  No   2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes  No   3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?6 minutes  h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  No   2) What was the batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  No   2) What was the batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  No   2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?  minutes.		e. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? ————————————————————————————————————	(			
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		☐ No
duration?				☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No. 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.				☐ No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No. 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.	ŀ	<u> </u>	is separate	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes		from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll	ector	□ No
0 W	2 1	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	es.	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?   Yes   Yes	a	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		∐ No □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?   Yes   No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N	C	e. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 -Weigh Hopper Dust Collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE RI	EVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check	only one
1. Date of last insp	ection:	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Em			
	performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	⊠ No
	been performed yet within the current calendar year?		\overline No
c. If first year of operation?	operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing N/A	∑ Yes	☐ No
f. Did the report	est report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hop whether or n i. Did the test re	per(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state of batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A port state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emiss	ions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE to vas the problem (if known)?	test? X Yes	☐ No
DADT II. STACK	EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
PARTII: STACK	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible of	emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	X Yes	☐ No
	le emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visib	mission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. e emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?t was the problem (if known)?	\( \text{Yes}	☐ No
	e emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the <u>lo</u> ading of the		
	esentative of the normal silo loading rate? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\bowtie$ N/A – silo r		
	was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		∐ No
g. Are emission	silo loading rate? tons/hour s from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector		⊠ No
1) Was the	ntinue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
duration?	ne visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batch	Yes	☐ No
*	as the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration?		
	ons from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector		
conducted	lo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) du while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and du	ration? X Yes	☐ No
	is the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? $\frac{\sim 6}{\sim 10}$ min		
	missions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		∐ No
	the emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	∐ No
c. Did the visib	mission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. e emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	X Yes	☐ No
d. What was th	e process rate? tons/hour.		

## **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300( Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	(3) or
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such othe permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?  If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM g	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nel consumption
GENERAL CONDITIONS	
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or a the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution cont devices?	trol
Does the owner or operator:     a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies verms and conditions of the air general permit?	
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Departm to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air permit and Department rules?	r general

<u>KI</u>	ELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check	only one
1.	Is the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following</i> )		ch question) 2.)
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]</li> </ul>		☐ No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	Yes	☐ No
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		☐ No
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
1	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	)? Yes	∐ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	- Yes	☐ No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- Yes	☐ No
CI	HANGES THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO		<del>-</del> . II
	<del></del>		only one
Ac	dministrative Changes:	box for each	☑ only one ch question)
Ac	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa	box for eactive not	
<u>Ac</u> 1.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	box for each tive not its or Yes	ch question)
<u>Ac</u> 1.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each tive not its or Yes	ch question)
<u>Ac</u> 1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	box for each tive not its or Yes	ch question)
<u>Ac</u> 1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  we or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each tive not its or Yes Yes	ch question)
<u>Ac</u> 1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  we wor Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes	ch question)  No No No No
<u>Ac</u> 1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  Ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	box for each tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ch question)  No No No No No
<u>Ac</u> 1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  we wor Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ch question)  No No No No
2. Ne 3.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  Ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	box for each tive not its or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ch question)  No No No No No
Ac 1. 2. Ne 3.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	box for each tive not its or - Yes - Hes -	h question)  No No No No No No No
2. Ne 3.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	box for each tive not its or - Yes - Hes -	ch question)  No No No No No No No
2. Ne 3.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	box for each tive not its or Yes	ch question)  No No No No No No No
2. Ne 3.	dministrative Changes:  Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  Wew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?  If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	box for each tive not its or Yes	ch question)  No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** Inspector Omar Horta and Assefa Hailemariam met with Nelson Muñoz, Manager, and Traci Johns, Environmental Specialist for Vulcan Materials Co, on 11 Feb 2014 to audit the visible emission test on the central dust collector. A new dust collector was recently added to cover the batching/loading of the ready mix trucks section. This new dust collector was also audited. The cement loading rate was 39.36 tons per hour. The observed opacity on both dust collectors was zero percent.