	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0951250 DATE: <u>7/1/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:15 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:50 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK DIV-EAST O)RLANDO		
FACILITY LOCATION: 7308 Narcoossee Rd			
ORLANDO 32822-	-5536		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: L Email: sanville@vmcmail.com CONTACT NAME: KEN RUEHLEN Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/10/2011 / 11/10, (effective date) (end date)	Mobile PHONI Mobile	E: (407)947-8155	
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
			<u> </u>
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>		(check 🗹 only obx for each question	
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Antonio Pieti</u>	. 321-436-6563	บบล 101 เลเาเ นุนเรนง)11)
Brief Notes:			
 Is the Authorized Representative still LORI SANVI If no, who is?: 	(LLE?	Yes	No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still KEN RUEHLEN? If no, who is?:	e update within 30 days?	Yes Yes Yes	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	s inspection? t 15 days in advance?	Yes Yes X	

Emissions Unit Section

<u>1 – CCB Plant-silos#1,(cement)slag&flyashsilow/grd mtdcentrDC subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection: <u>8/23/2011</u>	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🗌 Yes	D No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	🛛 Yes	No No
d. Date of last VE test: <u>8/23/2011</u>		
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y}		

e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>36.4</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🛛 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		

PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	•
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	n question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🕅 Yes	□ No
		_
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo of that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not lo	aded during ins	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
f. What was the silo loading rate? 27.28 tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?		∐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go $g(1)$. 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		□ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching		
duration?		□ No
3) What was the batching rate? 21.1 tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>6</u> minutes		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whi	ich is separate	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		_
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duratio		∐ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		∐ No □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	□ No
d. What was the process rate? 27.09 tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	 □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consume for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	_	No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (stationary and relocatable		question)
 Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi 	prior to changing location?	Yes	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ess days following a relocation?	Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv	e business days prior to relocation?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit:		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	D No No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	n of the facility or any emissions unit inistrative change at the facility?	ts or Ves	⊠ No □ No
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d. A change in ownership? 	?	· 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change? 		nitted	No
Ilka Bundy	7/1/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	12/31/2014		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection	

COMMENTS: Inspector, Ilka Bundy, met with Traci Johns, Environmental Specialist for Vulcan Materials Company, on 7/1/2013 to audit the visible emissions test on the central dust collector. It should be noted that this facility was in Long Term Reserve Shutdown. The facility decided to re-open this facility while maintenance is being performed at another Florida Rock facility. Traci Johns requested a waiver of the 15-day notification. The waiver was granted by Ilka Bundy, Environmental Team Leader. The cement loading rate was 27.28 tons per hour, which is acceptable. The observed opacity was zero percent.