

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0951250 DATE: <u>8/23/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>08:00</u> DEPART:	<u>09:20</u>
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK/NARCOSSEE PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION: 7308 NARCOSSEE ROAD	
ORLANDO	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Steve Taylor PHONE: (904)355-178	1
Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: Steve Taylor PHONE: 4072737035 Email: Mobile:	
Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/9/2006 / 11/9/2011 (effective date) (end date)	
Facility Section	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Steve Taylor</u>	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:	 ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	 ∑ Yes ☐No ∑ Yes ☐No

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Concrete Batching Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 8/24/2010 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation? d. Date of last VE test: 8/24/2010	⊠ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days aft f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27.24 tons/hour	X Yes No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the rewhether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?i. i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the If not, what was the problem (if known)?	ne last VE test? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one
enciosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this sit	te visit? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute 	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the load that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes □ No □ N	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo du <i>If YES, then continue on to questions</i> $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	?
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the no duration?	Yes No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching durat h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a du	st collector which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration	rate and duration? X Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this s a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	site visit?
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	X Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ✓	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consist concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral process:	box for each box f	• ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question	2.c below.)	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Deemail, fax, or written communication at least one b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Reloc 	business day prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later the c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation.	an five business days following a relocation? Yes	☐ No
	n at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility wit and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an en	missions unit in that separate permit:	
If YES, what was the purpose?	n-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes	∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in	duration? Yes	☐ No
CHANGES		ſ
<u>eminoso</u>	(check ✓	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon	box for each e number of the facility or authorized representative not	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila	box for each enumber of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with the content of the con	box for each enumber of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes thin 30 days of the change? Yes	n question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification win New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ithin 30 days of the change? Yes rship:	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification win New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there because in Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ithin 30 days of the change? Yes orship: en	h question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there because a Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without the state of the sta	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes thin 30 days of the change? Yes reship: en	h question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there because. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without the content of the process of the proc	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes thin 30 days of the change? Yes ership: en	h question) No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there because a Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without the state of the sta	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes thin 30 days of the change? Yes ership: en	h question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there because. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ithin 30 days of the change? Yes reship: en	No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there becan an Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without a contract of the company of the compan	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ithin 30 days of the change? Yes reship: en	n question) No No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there becan a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without a contract of the company of the compan	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes ithin 30 days of the change? Yes reship: en	n question) No No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there bear a. Installation of any new process equipment? 4. Alterations to existing equipment with equipment d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was an 30 days prior to the change?	box for each the number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes of thin 30 days of the change? Yes orship: ten	n question) No No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phon associated with a change in ownership or with a physi operations comprising the facility; or any other simila 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification winch New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owne 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there becan. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without a contract of the composition of the change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was an 30 days prior to the change? Assefa Hailemariam	box for each te number of the facility or authorized representative not cal relocation of the facility or any emissions units or r minor administrative change at the facility? Yes thin 30 days of the change? Yes reship: en	n question) No No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: The loading rate was calculated at 36.4 TPH, which is acceptable. VE was performed on the cement dust collector, which serves both silos and the weigh hopper. Batching occurred during the test. Observed opacity zero percent.Pm was not leaving the property. No odors detected.Yard was clean at the time of visit.