NUMERIAL PROTECTION	
EL ODIDA	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112581 DATE: 3/8/10 ARRIVE: 1030 DEPART: 1200		
FACILITY NAME: FOAM FACTORY, INC.		
FACILITY LOCATION: 3510 NW 53RD STREET		
FORT LAUDERDALE 33309-6340		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STAN REGENT PHONE: (954)485-6700		
CONTACT NAME: same PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/2/2006 / 10/2/2011 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)		
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or		
have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) [Yes No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings		
and the quantity of the coatings used? No		
3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? Xest No		
 4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly? Xeraged monthly? 5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used 		
for cleanups? 🛛 Yes 🗍 No		
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT)		
emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to		
an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) Yes No		

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. - (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes		No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes [\square	No

No

	momoring the coating theckness to avoid excessive coating :	
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Xes 🗌 No

- d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
 - 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?----- □Yes ⊠ No 2) recycling cleaning solvents?------ ⊠Yes □ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>					
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? 					
Art Pennetta	3/8/10				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	3/11				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: