

### **HUMAN CREMATORY**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)    RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 1050354 DATE: <u>12/09/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>1245</u> DEP	ART: <u>1512</u>					
FACILITY NAME: DBA GENTRY-MORRISON FUNERAL HOME						
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 1833 S. Florida Ave.						
LAKELAND 33801						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LEWIS HALL Email: lewhall@aol.com CONTACT NAME: Trey Hall/Brian Konseck Email: t.hall@gentry-morrison.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/26/2007 / 4/26/2012 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (863)688-7679 Mobile:  Mobile:  Mobile:						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>LEWIS HALL</u>	box for each question)					
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still LEWIS HALL?	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still KEN HODGES? If no, who is?: Trey Hall/Brian Konseck						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?  If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?						

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – HUMAN CREMATORY - B&L Syst Model N20AA

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?  b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
3.	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No ⊠No
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 12.04.2009	Yes	□No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
PA	RT II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?  a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?  b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	□No □No □No
	<ul> <li>c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>		□No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes Yes	□No □No □No
3.	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
	If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No
PA	RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction -  Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
a	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\Box$ 1,800 $^1$ $\Box$ 1,600 $^2$ degrees was determined?	☐ Yes	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
1) All temperature measurements	Yes Yes	□No
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	⊠ Yes	□No
3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	⊠ Yes	□No
4) Adjustments	X Yes	☐No
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	∐No
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	∐No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	⊠ Yes	□No
e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	□No ⊠No
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical	ıll <u>y</u>	
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	∐No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	☐ Yes	ПNo
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	□No
	_	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 5	2
	box for ea	ich question)
1. If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		∐No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400</b> °F before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber?	On Yes	ПNо
2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b>		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremati	_	□ N.
process begins in the primary chamber?	∐ Yes	∐No
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	/ 1 1 <b>E</b>	only one
	teneck iv	
TAKI V. AELOWED MATERIALS	(check box for ea	ch question)
1. Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials,	box for ea	ach question)
<ol> <li>Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li></ol>	box for ea	ch question)
Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	box for ea	ach question)

	······································		
PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manuf	acturer's specifications?	⊠ Yes	□No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating pro		S	
shutdown and malfunction?			□No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame char If no, skip a. – b.	racteristics?	∐ Yes	⊠No
<ul> <li>a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once</li> </ul>			□No
b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?		Yes	□No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANC	E SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE	
			,
Facility Section	on (continued)		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		<u> </u>	
		(check 🗹 box for each	only one auestion)
Administrative Changes:			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number			
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical reloca			<b>5</b> 1
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor a 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day			⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	,		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			⊠No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?			⊠No
<ul><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without repl</li><li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment the</li></ul>			⊠No ⊠No
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	⊠No ·
If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registration			
submitted 30 days prior to the change?	Mdu	☐ Yes	□No
Joseph V Panetta	12/09/2010		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Xayla V. Tanalla			
- Congra	A CONTRACT		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection	

**COMMENTS:** Spoke with Trey Hall and Brian Konseck about new rules. Went over rules explaining Maintenance Schedules, Preventitive Maintenance Schedules and how the rule addresses the operatation of crematories according to manufacturer's specifications.

I noticed in the paperwork and books provided during the inspection a copy of the rule/registration form. I brought this to Trey Hall's attention and explained allowed Materials. Human crematory units shall cremate only human or fetal remains with appropriate containers. The remains may be clothed. The containers shall contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as demonstrated by the manufacturer's data sheet. If containers are incinerated, documentation from the manufacturers certifying that they are composed of 0.5 percent or less by weight chlorinated plastics shall be kept on-file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two (2) years after their use. No other material, including biomedical waste shall be incinerated...

Spoke with Trey Hall and explained:

- If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit, the owner or operator shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:
- 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and
- 2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.

A field warning notice was left stating "Maintanence logs not availble during inspection. Yearly maintanence and corrective maintanence records are availble."

Brian Konseck expalined that he could not find the logs, but let Trey Hall know and fax or email them to the Department. I let Brian know that during last inspection Mr. Lewis Hall provided maintanence logs.

Received logs 12/15/2010. Spoke with Compliance Manager. Place facility in compliance.

Viewed Chart Records from January 2010 to June 2010

The crematory initiative inspection may or may not prove that the thermocouple(s) may or may not be properly placed. That will be addressed after the measurements are reviewed by Department Staff.

VISIBLE EMISSIONS EVALUATION

	VISIBLE EMISS
COMPANY DBA GENT	RY - MORRISON F. H.
UNIT	
ADDRESS 1833	John Florish Ave
La Keland	FU 33801
PERMIT NO. 1050354	(Ea) NO-
105035Y	EU NO. 90 (
	PERMITTED PATES Ph 1651 PF
PROCESS EQUIPMENT AFTER DUCA	e Chemption Unit
CONTROL EQUIPMENT DUINK	
OPERATONS MODE	START ( STOP STOP
START 30 4STOP	STARY W STORE
DISTANCE FROM OBSERVER START & STOP	DIRECTION FROM OBSERVER START 3 4 STANNA
EMISSION COLOR	CONTIN NINGHAMITTENT -
WATER DROPLETS PRESENT? NO	IS WATER DROPLET PLAME ATTACHED - V DENACHED -
START START START	DETERMINED STOP
DESCRIBE BACKGROUND START SKY GWL TYCK	STOP
BACKGROUN COLOR START STOP	SKY CONDITIONS START (LEAT STOP
WIND SPEED MAPH 2-3 MPH	START NAW STOP
AVERAGE OPACITY FOR HIGHEST PERIOD	RANGE OF OPACITY READINGS MIN. MAX.
SOURCE LAYOUT SKETCH	Draw North Arrow
8	Strilssion Point
•	·
Sun Wind	
* Plume and Stack	Coserver's Position
Q	alion Line – –
COMMENTS 1341.30 CM	who Palmer moled
	1 8000

OBSERV	TIPNO	TE		ATTIME	i T	:	STOP TIM 144		
[]	11	2010		134		<u></u> L.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
SEC	,	15	30	45	SEC MIN	1	15	30	45
0	0	0	0	0	30 -	0	0	0	45 0
1	0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	D
2	O	0	0	0	32 .	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	33 ·	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	34 `	0	0	0	0
5	Q	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0
6	. 0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0
750	Ω	0	0	0	37	0	0	0	0
8 <b>-</b> Q)	$\hat{Q}$	0	0	0	1432V	0	0	0	0
8 -	0	0	0	0	39		Ø	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	40 -	0	. 0	0	0
11	0	0	0_	0	41	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	0	42	0	<i>≬</i>	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	44	Q	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	. 45	Q.	0	0	0
16	0	a	0	0	46	0	0	2	0
17	0	0	.0	0	47	<u> </u>	Q	0	0
1/180	0	0	0_	0	WABO	0	0	D	0
19	0	0	0	0	49	0	Q	0	0
20	0	0	0_	0	50	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	. 51	Q	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	$Q_{-}$	52	Q	0	0	0
23 、	.0	0	0	0	53	0	0	0	0
24 '	0	0	0	0	. 54	<u>C</u>	0	0	0
25 ·	0	0	0	9	55	0	0	0	Q
26	0	0	0	0	56	Q	9	0	$\mathcal{L}$
27	O	0	0	0	57	$\mathcal{L}$	9	0	4
28	0	0	0	9	58	-(2)	$\nu$	0	9
1484/	0	<u> </u>		Q l	56/)	O	<u>(0</u>	0	$Q \mid$
Observers Signature: aphV Tum									

12/10/3018

Observers Organization: Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Observer Name and Certified By:

#### EASTERN TECHNICAL ASSOCIATES

#### JOSEPH PANETTA

PAN716659 STUDENT ID NUMBER

met the specifications of Federal Reference Method 9 and qualifies as a visible emissions evaluator. Maximum deviation on white and black smoke did not exceed 7.5% opacity and no single error exceeding 15% opacity was incurred during the certification test conducted by Eastern Technical Associates of Rateigh, NC. This certificate is valid for six monkins from date of issue and excitation the date below.

TAMPA, FL SCHOOL LOCATION

8/11/2010

386846

DATE OF SCHOOL

CERT NUMBER

TMPS09 2/10/2011

LAST LECTURE CERTIFICATION EXP. DATE



# Florida Department of Environmental Protection Southwest District Office

#### FIELD INSPECTION NOTICE

Permit Number: 12 9 2010  The purpose of this notice is to advise you of findings from a Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) personnel condinspection on the date listed above at the location described above. (For example, Where was activity observed? Who provided informinspector?)	1518
Permit Number: Permit Exp. Date: 426/20/2 Date and Time: 4/20/20/20  The purpose of this notice is to advise you of findings from a Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) personnel condinspection on the date listed above at the location described above. (For example, Where was activity observed? Who provided informinspector?)	<u> </u>
The purpose of this notice is to advise you of findings from a Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) personnel concinspection on the date listed above at the location described above. (For example, Where was activity observed? Who provided information inspector?)	<u> </u>
The purpose of this notice is to advise you of findings from a Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) personnel concinspection on the date listed above at the location described above. (For example, Where was activity observed? Who provided information inspector?)	
Observations: Maintenene Loss Not Available during Inspection. YEARly Maintenance and Connective Maintenance Records me Available	nation to the
Bule of Statute Relevant to Unservations	Permit dition No.
No Noticeable Unconfined Particulate Matter. Rule 62-296.320(4)(c)1, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), provides that no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow the emission of unconfined particulate matter from any activity, without taking reasonable precautions to prevent such emissions.	
No Violation of Permit Condition(s) Observed. Rule 62-4.160(1), F.A.C., The terms, conditions, requirements, limitations and restrictions set forth in this permit, are "permit conditions" and are binding and enforceable pursuant to Sections 403.141, 403.727, or 403.859 through 403.861, F.S.	
No Objectionable Odor Observed. Rule 62-296.320(2), F.A.C., provides that no person shall cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor.	
No Excessive Visible Emissions Observed On Site. Rule 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., provides that no person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow to be discharged into the atmosphere the emissions of air pollutants from any activity, the density of which is equal to or greater than 20 percent opacity.	
No Construction Or Modifications Of Permitted Emission Units Observed. Rule 62-4.210, F.A.C., provides that no person shall construct any installation or facility which will reasonably be expected to be a source of air or water pollution without first applying for and receiving a construction permit from the Department.	:
New Operating Rate. Rule 62-297.310, F.A.C., provides that unless otherwise state in the applicable emission limiting standard rule, testing of emission shall be conducted with the emissions unit operating at permitted capacity; in this case, subsequent emissions unit operation is limited to 110 percent of the test rate until a new test is conducted. Once the unit is so limited, operation at higher capacities is allowed for no more than 15 consecutive days for the purpose of additional compliance testing to regain the authority to operate at the permitted capacity.  New Operating Rate is	
No Open Burning Observed. With few exceptions, Rule 62-296.320(3), F.A.C., prohibits open burning in connection with industrial, commercial, or municipal operations.	
Other.	
The activities observed during the Department's field inspection for the above location indicate that, at this time, your facility appear compliance with Florida Administrative Code and your current permit conditions.  You are requested to contact Joseph V- Pare Mat the address or telephone number below within tifteen (15) days or received Inspection Notice if you have any questions about the above findings. We appreciate your cooperation during our inspection orward to any feedback you may have in reference to this inspection information.  Received by:    Issued / Posted by:   Print:   Jeseph V   Pare Materials	eipt of this