

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Protection

CENTRAL DISTRICT 3319 MAGUIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 232

ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32803

GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. **SECRETARY**

RICK SCOTT

July 24, 2013

Thomas Sheffield, Vice President/General Manager CEMEX Construction Materials of Florida, Inc. 3820 Northdale Blvd., Suite 100B Tampa, FL 33624 Thomas.Sheffield@CEMEX.com

Re: CEMEX Ocala North Ready Mix and Block Plant

> IW FLG110396 Air 0830026 **Brevard County** OCD-CAP-13-2437

Dear Mr. Sheffield:

Department personnel conducted a compliance inspection of the above-referenced facility on June 20, 2013. Based on the information provided during and/or following the inspection, the facility was determined to be in compliance with the Department's rules and regulations. A copy of each inspection report is attached for your records.

The Department appreciates your efforts to maintain this facility in compliance with state and federal rules. Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Patrick Farris at 407-897-4137 or via e-mail at Patrick.Farris@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Reggie Phillips, Manager

Central District

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Inspection Report (with attachments) **Enclosures**:

Sig Bo, CEMEX, Environmental Manager [sbo@cemexusa.com] cc:



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPI	NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0830026 DATE: <u>6/20/13</u> ARRIVE: <u>N/A</u> DEPART: <u>N/A</u>							
FACI	LITY NAME: OC.	ALA NORTH READY-MIX &	BLOCK PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 619 SW 17TH ST							
		OCALA 34471-3623					
En CONT En	ER/AUTHORIZEI nail: sbo@cemexus FACT NAME: SI nail: sbo@cemexus TLEMENT PERIC	GURD BO sa.com	URD BO	Mobile:	(407) 841-8409 (407) 312-7119 (407) 841-8409 (407) 312-7119		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
							-
1. Na		resentative(s): Jim Lane			,	check ✓ x for each	only one question)
2. Is t		esentative still SIGURD BO?			D	Yes	□No
3. Is t		ility provide an administrative u till SIGURD BO?			[Yes Yes	□No □No
4. Wi	Ill facility be conductives, was the compliant	ting VE test(s) during today's in nce authority notified at least 15	nspection?5 days in advance?		[[Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CCB Plant-RM silo (cement) w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 –CCB Plant-RM silo (cement) w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)			
Date of last inspection: 11/18/11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)			
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ined				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No			
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No			
particulate matter?	_	☐ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No			
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No			

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement) w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

	5—CCD Trant-DEOCK sno (cement) w/bagnouse subject to Reasonable Treeat	1110113	
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: 11/18/11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Uı	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigurations by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
	control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-RM silo (flyash) w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 11/18/11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	<u> </u>	1
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ✓ box for each of	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	ıfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paying and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	of X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	\(\sum \text{Yes}	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 6-CCB Plant-RMbatcher/truckloadoutw/shroud¢.dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	2
	Date of last inspection: 11/18/11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\sum N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Ur	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigenissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	e following: X Yes	□ No
	control emissions?	⊠ Yes	 No No No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 7 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check \square only one box for each question)	
Date of last inspection: 11/18/11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precau emissions by:	utions to control unconfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemic control emissions?	ds?	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reparticulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate particulate matter from stock piles?	educe airborne Yes No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dr	rop point to the truck? Yes No	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No	

Emissions Unit Section -CCR Plant-silo (cement/flyash) w/siloton dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

8 – CCB Frant-sno (cement/flyasn) w/snotop dust conector subject to Reasonable Frecautions					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each				
Date of last inspection: 11/18/11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each of	2			
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned				
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛚 Yes	□ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

<u>(</u>	CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2	2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3	B. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane	<u>1e/yr</u> :/yr	<u>·</u> ≤1.00°	?
4	4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ition	Yes	☐ No
—		_		
<u>C</u>	GENERAL CONDITIONS			
1	1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
$\ _{2}$	devices?	- 🗌	Yes	⊠ No
_	2. Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3	3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both states.	how for and	only one ch question)			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If o</i>		2.)			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	_	☐ No			
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loc e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pri b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificati 	ior to changing location? Yes	☐ No			
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	on Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No□ No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose If YES, what was the purpose?	that separate permit:	□ No			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	□ No □ No			
CHANGES		-			
		only one ch question)			
 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adminis If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been 	f the facility or any emissions units or strative change at the facility? Yes the change? Yes	⊠ No □ No			
a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substan d. A change in ownership?	Yes tially different? Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No			
Patrick Farris	6/20/13				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
Jakich Samo	Tatais Samo N/A				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: The facility was clean and well kept at the time of the inspection.

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

WASTEWATER COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT

FACILITY AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

@ = Optional

Name and Physical Location of Facility	WAFR ID:		County	Entry l	Date/Time		
CEMEX Ocala North Ready Mix Plant		Marion	6/20/13				
619 SW 17 th Street			Phone	@ Exi	t Date/Time		
Ocala, FL 34474				6/20/13	3		
Name(s) of Field Representatives(s)	Title			Phone	;		
Jim Lane	Operations Manager						
Name and Address of Permittee or Designa	ated Representative Titl	e	Phone	@ Op	erator Certification #		
Thomas Sheffield	Vice	e President/					
CEMEX Construction Materials of Florida, In	nc. Gen	eral Manager					
3820 Northdale Blvd., Suite 100B							
Tampa, FL 33624							
Inspection Type: C E I	Samples Taken(Y/N):	@ Sample ID#:		Samples	s Split (Y/N):		
☐ Domestic ☐ Indus	trial Were Photos Taken(Y/N):		@ Log book Volume:		@ Page		
FACILITY COMPLIANCE AREAS EVALUATED IC: In Compliance; MC: Minor Out of Compliance; NC: Out of Compliance SC: Significant Non-Compliance; NA: Not Applicable; NE or Blank: Not Evaluated Significant Non-Compliance Criteria Should be Reviewed When Out of Compliance Ratings Are Given in Areas Marked by a "◆" PERMITS/ORDERS SELF MONITORING PROGRAM IC 1. ◆ Permit NA 3. Laboratory IC 6. Facility Site Review NA 9. ◆ Effluent Quality NA 2. ◆ Compliance Schedules NA 4. Sampling NA 7. Flow Measurement NA 10. ◆ Effluent Disposal IC 5. ◆ Records & Reports IC 8. ◆ Operation & Maintenance NA 11. Biosolids/Sludge IC 14. Other: NA 13. SSO Survey Facility and/or Order Compliance Status: In-Compliance Out-Of-Compliance Significant-Out-Of-Compliance				Marked by a "◆" UENT/DISPOSAL Effluent Quality Effluent Disposal Biosolids/Sludge Groundwater			
Recommended Actions: Letter							
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Patrick Farris District Office/Phone Number 407-897-4137				Number	Date 7/17/13		
@ Signature of Reviewer			District Office/Phone Number Date				
Reggie Phillips		407-897-4132		7/17/13			
Single Event Violation Code(s):	Single Event Violation Code(s):						

Facility Name: Inspection Date:

INSPECTION REPORT SUMMARY

Facility Name: CEMEX Ocala North Ready Mix Plant

Facility ID: FLG110396 **Inspection Type: CEI Inspection Date:** 6/20/13

FACILITY BACKGROUND:

Facility Address: 619 SW 17th St., Ocala, FL 34474

Program/ Permit Information: IW, permit issue date: 07/15/2001, expiration date: 07/14/2016

Treatment Summary: Concrete Batch Plant, Type I and Type II wastewater treatment

Permitted Capacity: N/A

1. **Permit:** RATING – In-Compliance

A copy of the current permit was available onsite.

2. <u>Compliance Schedules</u>: RATING – Not Applicable

3. **Laboratory:** RATING – Not Applicable

4. Sampling: RATING – Not Applicable

5. **Records and Reports:** RATING – In-Compliance

A Wastewater Stormwater Management Plan and Best Management Practice Plan were available onsite.

6. Facility Site Review: RATING – In-Compliance

The Type II containment system appears to have adequate freeboard. The water clarity improved from the first washout pit to the final pit. All Type II wastewater was flowing back into the Type II System. All wastewater from the Type II System is pumped into the reclaimed storage tank and is reused for truck washouts.

- 7. Flow Measurement: RATING Not Applicable
- 8. **Operation and Maintenance:** RATING In-Compliance

The facility appeared to be well maintained. No wastewater was discharging offsite at the time of the inspection.

- 9. **Effluent Quality:** RATING Not Applicable
- 10. Effluent Disposal: RATING Not Applicable
- 11. **Biosolids/Sludge:** RATING Not Applicable
- 12. **Groundwater Quality:** RATING Not Applicable
- 13. **SSO Survey:** RATING Not Applicable
- 14. Other: RATING Not Applicable