WHEREN PROTECTION
States Variation
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0830020 DA	TE: <u>5/9/14</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART	:
FACILITY NAME: OC	CALA PLANT #2			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3911 NE 36TH AVE			
	OCALA 34479-2247	F2		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: M	TICHELLE WARD	PHONE: (352)245-51	16
Email: CONTACT NAME: N			Mobile: PHONE: (352)245-51	
Email:			Mobile:	
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 3/22/2009 / 3/22/20 (effective date) (end date)		perating without Entitle	ment!
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
	RODUCTORY MEETING			(check \blacksquare only one box for each question)
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s):			bon for carn 1 ,
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still MICHELLE W	VARD?		YesNo
	cility provide an administrative still MICHELLE WARD?			
	cting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least			

<u>1 – CCB Plant-silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse,500Bbl/100T capacity subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each c	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No	

2 – CCB Plant-silo(flyash)w/silotop baghouse, 250Bbl/50T capacity subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	

<u>3-CCB Plant-truck loadout w/spray bar for emission control subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes 🗌 No		
DADT IL FIELD ODSEDVATIONS D-L (2.20($414/2$) E.A.C.			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	nfined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary t control emissions?	o Yes No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment. 	Yes No		
particulate matter from stock piles?			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No		

4-CCB Plant-cement weigh scale, vents into truck subject to Reasonable Precautions

- Cod Hant-tentent weigh state, vents into truck subject to Reasonable Freedutions				
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by:	fined			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the 	Yes	🗌 No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	of	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the	exception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	·
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such othe	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	Yes No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	Yes No
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes No
$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + \text{MM}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + \frac{12 \text{ MM}}{12 \text{ MM}}}$	
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM g	gai propane/yr
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fu	el consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	
 a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		∐ No □ No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No

 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>) 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary []; relocatable []; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable []	(check 🗹 box for each o	•
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? Yes Yes No (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, No e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? Yes No b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] No to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] No to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: No a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? Ne were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was No	concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the jollow)	ng question 2.)	
 e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? Yes No b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes No c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No 	e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes No 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes 	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No 			🗌 No
 a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		ermit,	
co-located at the permitted facility? Yes No	a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	ge)? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? Yes No	co-located at the permitted facility?		
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	∐ No

CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
Administrative Changes:		•
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized re	epresentative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emis	ssions units or	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the fac	cility? 🗌 Yes	No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	No No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🗌 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		No No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	No No
d. A change in ownership?	Yes	No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate	e fee submitted	
30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

Patrick Farris

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

hatich) amo

Inspector's Signature

5/9/14

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: 4/29/14 phone conversation with Ms. Ward, she stated the facility was not in operation. On 5/9/14, I drove by the site and confirmed the facility was not operational.