

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCO	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:
AIRS ID#: 0830020 DATE: <u>8/16/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>09:00</u>	DEPART: <u>9:45</u>
FACILITY NAME: BELLEVIEW PLANT #2	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2911 NE 36 TH AVE	
OCALA 34479-2247	
Email: Mob	DNE: (352)295-3505
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Ray Breeding Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAY BREEDING?	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still RAY BREEDING? If no, who is?: Steve Lee, Plant Manager	YesNo YesNo
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes \(\sigma\)No \(\sigma\)No \(\sigma\)No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse,100T/500Bblcapacity subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 11/10/2010 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	
operation?	Yes No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ No Yes ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE to If not, what was the problem (if known)?	est? Yes No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the state is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo no	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?f. What was the silo loading rate? ~30 tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector <i>If YES</i> , then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No
duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration?	Yes No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector	which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) du conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and dur 2) What was the batching rate? ~30 tons/hour. What was the batching duration? ~21 minu	ration? 🗌 Yes 🔀 No
 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. 	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? ~30 tons/hour.	X Yes No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(flyash)w/silotop baghouse,40T/200Bbl capacity subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/10/2010 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each	only one question) No No No No No No No No
	ir not, what was the problem (ir known).		
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🗵 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 N/A – silo not loading the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	nducted at a ra	ate
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		□ No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? ~ 30 tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration	ector	☐ No
2	2) What was the batching rate? ~ 30 tons/hour. What was the batching duration? ~ 30 minutes.		
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	⊠ No □ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 20 tons hour. 	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. What was the process rate? ~ 30 tons/hour.		

Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-truck loadout w/spray bar for emission control subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	•
2.	Date of last inspection: 11/10/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? \[\Delta N/A \] c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
Un	RT II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and niveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiemissions by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		 No No No No No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-cement weigh scale, vents into truck subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each of	•
2.	Date of last inspection: 11/10/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
_			
<u>PA</u>	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	,	only one
Un	confined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each of	question)
	onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfidenissions by:	ned	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🕅 Yes	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Vas	□ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	M 168	
	particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		□ N
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		∐ No □ No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal	ane/yr ie/yr	≤ 1.00	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		Yes	☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗆 ·	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛	Yes	☐ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		Ves	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s	stationary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (I)		g question 2.)	1
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage was)?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
If TES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration:		- 🔲 105	
CHANGES Administration Changes		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adminuted and the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or - Yes - Yes - Yes	⊠ No □ No
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days in New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different?	its or - Yes	No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different?	its or -	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of tassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	its or -	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? tantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	its or -	No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	an of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? cantially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub	its or -	No No No No No No No