	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/E		T)	
AIRS ID#: 1050350 DAT	:E: <u>01/27/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:55</u>	I	DEPART: <u>10:10</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FOU	JR CORNERS READY MIX	& BLOCK PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION:	: 4040 SAND MINE RI	D			
	DAVENPORT 3389	<del>)</del> 7			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: SIG Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		2013	<b>PHONE:</b> (40	07)312-7119	
		Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (	(check 🗹 only one boy	()		
IN COMPLIANC	E MINOR Non-COM	APLIANCE SIC	<b>GNIFICANT</b> No	n-COMPLIANCE	
	<b>RODUCTORY MEETING</b> resentative(s): <u>Mike Johnson</u> ,	, Plant Operations Man	ager	(check $\square$ only on box for each question	
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Repre If no, who is?:	esentative still SIGURD BO?			XesNo	)
	lity provide an administrative ill SIGURD BO?				

	If no, who is?:		
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Xes Yes	No
	If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	🛛 Yes	No

## **Emissions Unit Section**

5 – CCB Plant-BLOCK silo #1	(cement	) w/siloto	p baghouse	sub	ject to	5% (	<b>D</b> pacity	y Limit
-----------------------------	---------	------------	------------	-----	---------	------	-----------------	---------

P۸			
	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1.	Date of last inspection: $07/15/08$		question
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation? 🖾 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	d. Date of last VE test: $01/07/2010$	_	
l	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes Yes	No No
	f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	No No
	g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 27 tons/hour		
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	_	
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	∐ No
	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
РА	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(1	. 1
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1.		Yes Yes	🗌 No
I	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
		🛛 Yes	∐ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>		No No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	X Yes	D No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ate
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes nducted at a ra led during insp	I No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes nducted at a ra led during insp	□ No ate
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes nducted at a ra led during insp Yes	I No ate pection. No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>nducted at a railed during inspondent of the second s</li></ul>	I No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		I No ate pection. No No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second structureImage: Second structure <th>I No ate pection. No</th>	I No ate pection. No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ nducted at a railed during inspired Yes $\square Yes$ h. $\square Yes$ te and	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes         nducted at a railed during inspondent of the second	I No ate pection. No No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second secon	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second secon	I No ate pection. No No No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second secon	I No ate pection. No No No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second strainImage: Second strain	I No ate pection. No No No No
2.	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second strainImage: Second strain	I No ate pection. No No No No
2.	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second strainImage: Second strain	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No
2.	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second strainImage: Second strain	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second structureImage: Second structure <th>□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No</th>	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	YesInducted at a railed during inspImage: Second structureImage: Second structure <th>□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No</th>	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No

## **Emissions Unit Section**

-	6 CODT and Dio CASSIO #2 (center) #/Shotop Suglouse Subject to 7/ Opacity		
	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: $07/15/2008$	00A 101	question,
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	_	_
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	D No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
I	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? XA	Yes	□ No
I	d. Date of last VE test: 01/07/2010		
	<ul><li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li><li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li><li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>24.7</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
	<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	□ No □ No
	<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?         If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
PA	ART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	
1.	. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		□ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ N/A – silo not load		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	· 🛛 Yes	No No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	$\square$ Yes $h$ .	🛛 No
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		🗌 No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu		
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
	<ul><li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li><li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li></ul>		🗌 No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		🗌 No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		🗌 No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	X Yes	🗌 No
	a Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the N/ operity limit?		
	<ul><li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li><li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li></ul>		

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one
	box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
e roo tons per year of more of any other regulated an pondiant?	
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the	e exception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300	D(3) or
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Yes No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such oth	or air gaparal
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	
c. Of an equivalent profated amount if multiple fuels are used offsite (use equation below)?	
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +M	M gal propane/yr $< 1.00?$
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly f	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>	_	
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li></ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Is the facility: stationary $\square$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $\square$ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the follow</i> )	ing question 2.	)
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]</li> </ul>	)(6)]	No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	(6)]	∐ No □ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: <ul> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?</li> <li>If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?</li> </ul>		D No No
		,
CHANGES	(check ⊻ box for each	only one
Administrative Changes:		question
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? - 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul></li></ul>	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change?</li></ul>	ıbmitted	

Nedin Bahtic/Steven Sherman

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

01/27/2011

**COMMENTS:** Emission Unit 005 is Silo #1, the east silo which holds gray cement. Emission Unit 006 is Silo #2, the west silo which holds white cement. The load into silo 1 was 27.17 tons. The load into silo 2 was 25.32 tons. Spoke with plant manager Nick Johnson about the status of the silos for the ready mix plant. According to Nick Johnson that facility will not be built. The silos are laying on the ground, unconstructed outside of the facility.