STUBIUL ROTECTION
5 V
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0112548 DATE: 12/10/08       ARRIVE: 1420       DEPART: 1540         FACILITY NAME: MAACO COLLISION REPAIR & AUTO PAINTING       FACILITY LOCATION:       1825 SOUTH POWERLINE ROAD         DEERFIELD BEACH       33442         RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:       GREGORIO SALAZAR       PHONE: (954)429-0308         CONTACT NAME:       same       PHONE:         REMITTANCE YEAR:       ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/3/2006 / 8/3/2011 (effective date)       / 8/3/2011				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE         MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))         1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☑Yes □ No         2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
PART III:       CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS       – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.         (check ☑ appropriate box(es))       1.       Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No         2.       Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No				

## PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check d appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes 🗌 No

c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-viol	10let cured, or powder coatings)?	_ Yes 🖂 No
--	-----------------------------------	------------

- d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- 🖾 Yes 🔲 No
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>						
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment with</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form?</li></ul></li></ol>	iout replacement?       Yes       No         Ily different than that noted on the most       Yes       No         Ithe owner submit a new and complete       Yes       No         2-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or       Yes       Yes					
Art Pennetta	12/10/08					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	6/09					

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:**