



Florida Department of
Environmental Protection

Northwest District
160 W. Government Street, Suite 308
Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740

Rick Scott
Governor

Jennifer Carroll
Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr.
Secretary

March 5, 2012

By Facsimile to 850/455-3662

Mr. Kenneth Cooley, President
Marble Works of Pensacola, Inc.
3434 West Fairfield Drive
Pensacola, Florida, 32505

Dear Mr. Cooley:

On February 10, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0330268. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Carol Melton".


Carol Melton
Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/cs/c

Enclosure



CAST POLYMER OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
 RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 0330268 **DATE:** 2/10/12 **ARRIVE:** 10:20 AM **DEPART:** 10:42 AM
FACILITY NAME: MARBLE WORKS OF PENSACOLA
FACILITY LOCATION: 3434 W FAIRFIELD DR
 PENSACOLA 32505
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BUD COOLEY **PHONE:** (850)453-8206
Email: **Mobile:**
CONTACT NAME: **PHONE:**
Email: **Mobile:**
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/13/2011 / 5/13/2016
(effective date) (end date)

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

- Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the cast polymer operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.a., F.A.C.) Yes No
- Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor?----- Yes No
- Does the combined quantity of styrene containing resin and gel-coat used exceed 284,000 pounds (142 tons) in any consecutive twelve month period? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.c., F.A.C.)----- Yes No
- Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the quantity of resin and gel-coat used on a monthly basis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)----- Yes No
- Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)6.d., F.A.C.)----- Yes No
- Is this cast polymer operation subject to a volatile organic compound (VOC) Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)6.b., F.A.C.)----- Yes No

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

1. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by:
 - a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air?----- Yes No
 - b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? Yes No
 - c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application?----- Yes No
 - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- Yes No
 - e) managing cleanup solvents?----- Yes No
2. Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources, water quality, or air quality?----- Yes No
3. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition? Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.

(check appropriate box(es))

A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1. Since the last inspection has there been
 - a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes No
 - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- Yes No
 - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- Yes No
 - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- Yes No

Chris Stoll

2/10/2012

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

2/2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On February 10, 2012, an unannounced inspection was conducted at the Marble Works of Pensacola facility located at 3434 West Old Fairfield Drive in Pensacola, Escambia County. The facility is a cast polymer operation that fabricates bathroom fixtures such as sinks, shower stalls and bathtubs. The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection. No objectionable odors were noticed from the outside of the building. During the past 12 months, the facility has used a total of 15,810 lbs of styrene-containing materials, which is well below the permit limit of 284, 000 pounds.