WENTA PROTECTION	
Same December	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0112546 DATE: <u>5/22/21013</u> ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: <u>1400</u>
FACILITY NAME: HOLMAN HONDA OF FORT LAUDERDALE	
FACILITY LOCATION: 12 E SUNRISE BLVD	
FORT LAUDERDALE 33304-1951	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GLENN GARDNER Email: CONTACT NAME: JASON WOODHAM Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/7/2011 / 8/7/2016 (effective date) (end date)	PHONE: (954)335-2200 Mobile: PHONE: (954)764-1100 Mobile:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE) NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A. (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	с.
 Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 6 have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the and the quantity of the coatings used?	i2-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or e 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠ No e VOC content of the coatings ⊠Yes □ No ection, these records for a period
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENT</u> (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	<u>FS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Aremission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-21 Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollu an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) 	0.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) Yes No utants which cause or contribute to

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes 🗋 No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes 🗌 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes 🗌 No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes 🗌 No
3) using water based cleaners?	Yes No

3) using water based cleaners?]Yes]
--------------------------------	--	------	--	---

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
1	Yes	No
·/ ···································	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		_
	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/22/2013

Date of Inspection

5/22/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 5/22/2013, AQD staff observed operations at Holman Honda. The facility has two spray booths and two prep booths, and a mixing room. The assistant manager accompaned staff on the inspection and will be sending VOC records.