	BEN WOTECTION
No.	an Acade
	FLORIDA
1	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO				
AIRS ID#: 0090201 DATE: <u>5/25/10</u> FACILITY NAME: Prestige Concrete - MELBOURN FACILITY LOCATION: 2585 AVOCADO AV MELBOURNE 3293	ENUE	DEPART: <u>3:15</u>			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: M CONTACT NAME: James Hall ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/20 (effective date) (end date)	PHO	<b>DNE:</b> (561)478-9980 <b>DNE:</b> (321)751-2566			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
<ul> <li>PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRI</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> <ol> <li>Were visible emissions tests conducted during the 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batched controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible</li> <li>During visible emissions tests of the silo dust con at a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice?</li> <li>Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of to this question is "Yes", then continue on to queskip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.</li> <li>a) Was the batching operation in operation durin b) During the visible emissions test, was the bat duration?</li> </ol> </li> <li>If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) op from the silo dust collector, are the visible emission conducted while batching at a rate that is represented to the silo dust collector, and the silo dust collector.</li> </ul>	his site visit according to EPA ers), and other enclosed storage e emissions to 5 percent opaci ollector exhaust points was the loading rate, or at least at the operation controlled by the sil estions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If )	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter     Yes ⊠ No ge and conveying equipment ty? ⊠Yes □ No e loading of the silo conducted minimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes □ No lo dust collector? (If answer f answer is "No" then Yes □ No he normal batching rate and Yes □ No st collector, which is separate r (batcher) dust collector			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – ( <i>continued</i> ) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ol>			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)         2. Did this facility demonstrate:         a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?         b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?			
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>			

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
-------------------------------	--

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i> ), <i>below.</i> )	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
	<ul> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li> <li>d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?</li> </ul>	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No □Yes ⊠ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? $\Box$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Tyes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles?  Yes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?-----

b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🖾 No
c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	Yes	🖂 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Wanda Parker-Garvin

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/25/10

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** See attached inspection report.