**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	UAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 1050346 DATE: 8	/9/2011	ARRIVE: <u>12:20PM</u>	DEPART: <u>5:35PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: DAVENP	ORT READY-MIX & BLO	OCK PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION:	100 LEM CARNES RD		
	DAVENPORT 33837		
		RD BO PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)312-7119

# **Facility Section**

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)

☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PA	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	•	2
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Robert Carberry</u>	box for each	question)
	Brief Notes: Met at front gate and helped coordinate trucks on time.		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO?	Xes Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>4 – BLOCK PLANT CEMENT SILO #1 subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfit emissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> </ul>		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	D No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		☐ No ☐ No

# **Emissions Unit Section** <u>5 – BLOCK PLANT CEMENT SILO #2 subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each	question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>2) appreation of water of environmentary safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>6 – BATCH PLANT CEMENT SILO COMPARTMENT #1 subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🛛 Yes 🗌 Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li> </ul>	- Xes	□ No
<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li> </ul>	-	No No
particulate matter from stock piles?	_	No
<ul> <li>b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?</li> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: <ul> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes	<ul> <li> No</li> <li> No</li> <li> No</li> </ul>

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>7 – BATCH PLANT CEMENT SILO COMPARTMENT #2 subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ box for each c	only one (uestion)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		140000000000000000000000000000000000000
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> </ul>		🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🔀 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- Xes	D No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	2
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	-

1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	Yes	No
	<ul> <li>control emissions?</li></ul>		∐ No
	<ul> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	_	∐ No □ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

9-BATCH PLANT FLYASH/SLAG SILO	<b>COMPARTMENT #2 subject to Reasonable Precautions</b>
--------------------------------	---

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?  N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>		□ No □ No □ No
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)

1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined
	emissions by:

	<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
	<ul><li>control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	<ul><li>owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li><li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>10 – BATCH PLANT CEMENT WEIGH HOPPER subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗍 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigent emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>		🗌 No
<ul> <li>appreciation of which of control entropy and call suppressing control emissions?</li> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Xes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		☐ No ☐ No

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>11 – BATCH PLANT MIXER TRUCK LOADOUT subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>	🗍 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfigentiation emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>	Xes	No
<ul> <li>control emissions?</li></ul>	_	∐ No
<ul> <li>particulate matter?</li></ul>	_	☐ No ☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>		☐ No ☐ No

12 – CCB Plant-block plant weigh hopper/mixer #1 w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		1
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
<ul><li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

<u>13 – CCB Plant-block plant weigh hopper/mixer #2 w/dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>		
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>05-26-2011</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ol>		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		1
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:</li> </ol>	ied	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
<ul><li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	D No No

# Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	- 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	_	🔀 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	- 🛛 Yes - 🖾 Yes - 🖾 Yes	No     No     No     No     No     No     No
$\frac{0 \text{ gal diesel/yr} + 0 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} + 0 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} + 0 \text{ MM gal propane/yr} \le 1.00?}{275,000 \text{ gal diesel/yr} 23,000 \text{ gal gasoline/yr} 44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr} 1.3 \text{ MM gal propane}}$	ne/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ul><li>2. Does the owner or operator:</li><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		No
<ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		
	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $\square$	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	na auestion ?	)
concrete batching and/or nonnetance nineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the jouowi	ig question 2.,	,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		_
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?		🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	5)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe	rmit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag	e)? 📋 Yes	∐ No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		$\square$ No
If TES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration.		
CHANGES	(check 🗹	•
	(check ☑ box for each	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	box for each ative not	•
Administrative Changes:	box for each ative not nits or	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up	box for each ative not nits or 	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> </ul>	box for each ative not nits or 	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ative not hits or 	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions upperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ative not nits or 2 Yes 2 Yes 2 Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:         <ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions upoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol></li></ul>	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions up operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ative not nits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions upoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ative not hits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:         <ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions upoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol></li></ul>	box for each ative not nits or   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes	question)

Chris Haines

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

08/09/2011

Date of Inspection

08/09/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** I (Chris Haines) arrived at the facility at approximately 12:20PM for a visible emissions (VE) test scheduled for 1:30 PM. Upon arrival at the facility I was met by the contractor who would be performing the VE tests Mr. Bill Arlington of Arlington Environmental Services, Inc. We then spoke with Mr. Robert Carberry, the facility contact who would be directing truck traffic for the purpose of testing the emission units. Since we were both over an hour early, he called in the first set of trucks for the purpose of testing the silos. A cement truck in the area was available, and so the first emission units tested were the Truck Load Out and the Ready-Mix Weight Hopper. I observed Mr. Arlington's method for performing the VE tests. Upon arrival of the tanker trucks for the cement batching plant, we proceeded there. There were no issues with the test on Cement Silo 1 and Cement Silo 2 for the Block-Plant. The next tests were performed on Compartments 1 and 2 for the Cement Silos as well as Compartments 1 and 2

for the Flyash silos; there was a small issue with these four tests since Mr. Arlington and I could not position ourselves to get an appropriate sun angle. Durring the test on Flyash Silo the pressure release valve did give a 5% visible emission reading during the test. The last two tests, the dust collectors on Mixers 1 and 2, also could not be done with appropriate sun angle. I left Mr. Arlington to conduct the rest of the testing 12 minutes into the test on Mixer 1 at approximately 5:35. I gave my card to Mr. Carberry and told him that he had any questions about the testing or any concerns he wanted to convey that he could give me a call.