	WENTAL PROTECTION	
No.	Ner N	
FL	ORIDA	
1		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	AINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0710198 DATE: <u>03/30/12</u> ARRIVE:	<u>09:45</u> DEPART: <u>11:15</u>		
FACILITY NAME: TRI CIRCLE PAVERS-FT MYERS			
FACILITY LOCATION: 2620 JEFFCOTT ST			
FORT MYERS 33901-5301			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:DANIEL DEDEUGDPHONE:(239)332-2325Email:Mobile:CONTACT NAME:TROY FARMERPHONE:(239)332-2325			
Email: tfarmer@tricirclepavers.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/25/2010 / 2/25/2015 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile:		
Facility Sec	tion		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Matthew Welborn	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		

	If no, who is?:		_
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Xes Yes	No
	If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	Yes	No

Emissions Unit Section

3 -CCB Plant#2-silo(white cement)w/silotopdustcollect30T-north subject to 5% Opacity	Limit
--	-------

DA			
	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: $03/01/11$		1
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No No
l	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	TYes	🖾 No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation? X/N/A	Yes	No No
l	d. Date of last VE test: 03/01/11		
l		\bigtriangledown Vas	
l	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	\bigvee Yes	
ł	f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
	g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 28 tons/hour		
ł	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
ł	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? \square N/A	Yes	No No
ł	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	T Yes	No No
l	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
		\bigtriangledown v _{os}	
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
I	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	-
		00x 101 cach	question
			I
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	□ No
l	. Was the visible amissions test conducted according to FPA Method 0?		
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
1	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	X Yes	∐ No
			∐ No □ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes Yes	D No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes	No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes nducted at a rated during insp	I No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes nducted at a rated during insp	□ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes nducted at a ra led during insp ✓ Yes 	I No ate pection. No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes nducted at a ra led during insp ✓ Yes ✓ Yes 	I No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$\boxtimes Yes$ Inducted at a railed during insp $\boxtimes Yes$ $\square Yes$ <i>h</i> .	I No ate pection. No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$\boxtimes Yes$ Inducted at a railed during insp $\boxtimes Yes$ $\square Yes$ <i>h</i> .	I No ate pection. No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes nducted at a ratled during insp ✓ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes 	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes nducted at a ratled during insp ✓ Yes ☐ Yes h. ☐ Yes te and 	□ No ate pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes nducted at a rated during inspanded during durin	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		□ No ate pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		□ No ate pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$ \begin{array}{ c c } \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple \\$	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	YesInducted at a randled during inspImage: Second stressImage: Second stres	I No nate pection. No No No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	YesInducted at a randled during inspImage: Second stressImage: Second stres	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	YesInducted at a randled during inspIed during inspYes	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Yes \\ \hline Multiple Additional Additi$	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Yes \\ \hline Multiple Additional Additi$	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple & Mu$	□ No ate pection. □ No
2.	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple & Mu$	□ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline & Yes \\ \hline & Multiple & Mu$	□ No ate pection. □ No

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		only one
1. Date of last inspection: $3/18/11$	box for each c	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: 3/18/11		
	Xes	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	=	
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	Yes	No No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>incomp</u> tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	_	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🛛 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	X Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
DADENT OF A OK EMIGOLONIC Comments have been and have a sheet		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each c	uestion)
		1
		I
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	<u> </u>	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		I
		I
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo cor	nducted at a ra	te
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load	ed during insp	ection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	□ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to I		
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test uses the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 		∐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat	e and	
duration?		No No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	ector	🗌 No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	ector Yes	🗌 No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	ector Yes s	_
 from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector dust collector dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 	ector Yes s. Yes	🗌 No
 from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	ector Yes s. Yes	_
 from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average. 	ector Yes S. Yes Yes Yes	D No No
 from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	ector Yes s. Yes	🗌 No
 from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u>% for the highest six-minute average. 	ector Yes S. Yes Yes Yes	D No No

Emissions Unit Section

ΟΛΟΤΙ. ΕΠ Ε ΝΕΥΙΕΨΙΝΟΙΟΝ ΤΟ ΙΝΩΝΕΟΤΙΟΝ		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: <u>3/4/11</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<u> </u>	—
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🛛 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? X N/A	Yes	□ No
1	L 103	
d. Date of last VE test: $3/4/11$		—
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	X Yes	No No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? $\frac{38}{38}$ tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes	
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	∐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	X Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		1
	<u> </u>	_
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a r	ate
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes □ No □ N/A - silo not loading 	nducted at a r led during ins	ate pection.
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes □ No □ N/A - silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins	ate
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	nducted at a r led during ins	ate pection.
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	nducted at a r led during ins N Xes	ate pection.
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \bigcirc \bigcirc Yes h	ate pection.
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	nducted at a r led during ins \bigcirc \bigcirc Yes h	ate pection.
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins S S Yes D Yes h. S Yes	ate pection. No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins S S Yes D Yes h. S Yes	ate pection. No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \square Yes h. \square Yes te and \square Yes	ate pection. No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \square Yes h. \square Yes te and \square Yes ites	ate pection. No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins E Yes I Yes h. Yes te and C Yes tes n is separate	ate pection. No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loade. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins EXYes I Yes h. Yes te and C Yes tes n is separate ector	ate pection. No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \square Yes h. \square Yes te and $\cdot \square$ Yes tes n is separate ector P \square Yes	ate pection. No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \square Yes h. \square Yes te and $\cdot \square$ Yes tes n is separate ector P \square Yes	ate pection. No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins Yes Yes h. Yes te and Yes tes n is separate ector Yes es.	ate pection. No No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not loading. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \square Yes h. \square Yes te and $ \square$ Yes ites n is separate ector 2 \square Yes es. \square Yes	ate pection. No No No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	nducted at a r led during ins \square Yes h. \square Yes te and $ \square$ Yes ites n is separate ector 2 \square Yes es. \square Yes	ate pection. No No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	nducted at a r led during ins \bigcirc Yes h. \bigcirc Yes te and \bigcirc Yes tes n is separate ector $?$ \bigcirc Yes es. \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc Yes Yes \bigcirc Yes	ate pection. No No No No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)?	nducted at a r led during ins \bigcirc Yes h. \bigcirc Yes te and \bigcirc Yes tes n is separate ector $?$ \bigcirc Yes es. \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc Yes Yes \bigcirc Yes	ate pection. No No No No No
 If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate?	nducted at a r led during ins \bigcirc Yes h. \bigcirc Yes te and \bigcirc Yes tes n is separate ector $?$ \bigcirc Yes es. \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc Yes Yes \bigcirc Yes	ate pection. No No No No No No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	- 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	- 🛛 Yes - 🖾 Yes - 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal prop275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 	_	
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>)	(check ☑ box for each <i>ng question 2.</i>	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🗌 No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 	nits or 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🖂 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🖂 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🖂 No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subm	itted	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

Wayne Lewis

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

03/30/12

Date of Inspection

03/30/13

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: