

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	SPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	Y (CI)			
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
	RS ID#: 0951239 DA'		ARRIVE: 7:55 AM	DEPART: <u>9:00 AM</u>			
FА	CILITY NAME: WE	ST ORANGE READY-MIX PI	LAN1				
FA	CILITY LOCATION	: 12601 AVALON RD					
		WINTER GARDEN 3	4787-9772				
CC	WNER/AUTHORIZED Email: sigurdm.bo@c DNTACT NAME: SI Email: sigurdm.bo@c VTITLEMENT PERIC	G BO cemex.com	BO PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:				
PA	Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
		resentative(s): Robert Carberry	Plant Manager	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	esentative still SIG BO?					
			pdate within 30 days?				
4.			spection?5 days in advance?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo #1, comp #1(cement), w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1	Deta (1) 4 '	box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 10/16/2012 Part Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		,
۷.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	⊠ Yes	□ No
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No ⊠ No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	res	⊠ No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	d. Date of last VE test: 10/16/2012 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 35 tons/hour	∑ Yes∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑	only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
		0011101 04011	question
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		□ No
	d. During visible amissions tests of the sile dust collector exhaust maints was the leading of the sile on	ndustad at a m	ata.
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A − silo not loading rate?		
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? 28.7 tons/hour		
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to		_
	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		_
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? ~ 200 tons/hour. What was the batching duration? $2-4$ minutes.	? 🗵 Yes	∐ No
2.	$Was \ a \ visible \ emissions \ test \ conducted \ by \ the \ inspector \ for \ this \ unit \ during \ this \ site \ visit?$	Yes	No No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. What was the process rate? 28.7 tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	\boxtimes	Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 239,728 gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal pro		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propan Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr		□ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(cł	neck 🗹	only one
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed	box f	for each	question)
2	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗆	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛛	Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛	Yes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (a		box for each of question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	1	Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifi 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	e business days prior to relocation? -	Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit: rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes		(check ☑ box for each	-
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of		box for each dive not	-
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?	box for each of the cive not ts or Yes	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each of the not ts or Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	of the facility or any emissions unitaritive change at the facility? of the change?	box for each of tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement' c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial.	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? ?	box for each of the tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question) No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? ?	box for each of tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes mitted	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility? of the change? ?	box for each of tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes mitted	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions unitaristrative change at the facility? of the change? ? stantially different? ton form and the appropriate fee sub-	box for each of tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes mitted	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? stantially different? ton form and the appropriate fee sub-	box for each of tive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes mitted	question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: Ilka Bundy, Environmental Team Leader, waived the 15 day notification for the compliance test on EU 001. The remaining eight emission units were tested on 10/14/2013. The silo was loaded with white cement for the compliance test. The observed opacity was 0% and the loading rate was 27.8 TPH, which is acceptable.